

Homological projective duality

(Alexander Kuznetsov!)

Beilinson

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) &= \langle \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1) \rangle \\ &= \langle D(\text{pt}), D(\text{pt}), \dots, D(\text{pt}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The notation $\langle \rangle$ means a *semi-orthogonal decomposition*:

- ▶ They are semi-orthogonal: $\text{Ext}^*(\mathcal{O}(i), \mathcal{O}(j)) = 0$ for $i > j$,
- ▶ $\mathcal{O}(i)$ is *exceptional*: $R\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(i), \mathcal{O}(i)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}$. Equivalently,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(\text{pt}) & \rightarrow & D(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \\ \mathbb{C} & \mapsto & \mathcal{O}(i) \end{array}$$

is an embedding,

- ▶ The sheaves $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1)$ generate $D(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$.

Sketch proof

The first two conditions are simple cohomology computations.

Generation: Since $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1)$ are exceptional and semi-orthogonal the Gram-Schmidt process shows that any object of $D(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ is an extension of a piece in their span and a piece in their orthogonal.

Thus we want to show their orthogonal is zero.

Use the Koszul resolution associated to the section

$$\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})} \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus n}$$

with zero locus one point $\{p\}$. Shows that \mathcal{O}_p is in the span of $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1)$ for any point $p \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Thus any object F in the orthogonal has

$$F|_p \cong R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_p, F)[n-1] = 0$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Therefore $F = 0$ by the Nakayama lemma.

Family version

Fix

- ▶ $X = \mathbb{P}(E) \xrightarrow{\pi} B$, a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle, where
- ▶ E is a rank n vector bundle over B .

Orlov proved that

$$D(X) = \langle D(B), D(B)(1), \dots, D(B)(n-1) \rangle.$$

Here the i th copy of $D(B)$ is embedded via $\pi^*(\cdot) \otimes \mathcal{O}(i)$, where $\mathcal{O}(-1) \hookrightarrow \pi^*E$ is the tautological bundle on X .

Blow ups

Blow up in $Z \subset X$ of codimension- n (both smooth):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xhookrightarrow{j} & \mathrm{Bl}_Z X \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z & \xhookrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

Orlov also proved

$$D(\mathrm{Bl}_Z X) = \langle D(X), D(Z)(-E), \dots, D(Z)(-(n-1)E) \rangle.$$

Here

- ▶ $D(X)$ is included via π^* and $D(Z)$ by $j_* p^*$
- ▶ $p: E \rightarrow Z$ is a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle
- ▶ its $\mathcal{O}(1)$ line bundle is $\mathcal{O}(-E)$

so this is an analogue of his projective bundle result.

Universal hyperplane

Set up:

- ▶ variety and line bundle $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$
- ▶ basepoint free linear system $V \subseteq H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$

Equivalently

- ▶ map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ such that
- ▶ $\text{im } f$ not contained in any hyperplane

Over the dual projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ we have the universal family of hyperplanes $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$:

$$\mathcal{H} := \{(x, s) : s(x) = 0\} \subset X \times \mathbb{P}(V).$$

Discriminant locus is classical projective dual $X^\vee \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ of X .

Given a linear subspace $L \subseteq V$ with annihilator $L^\perp \subseteq V^*$, set

$$X_{L^\perp} := X \times_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)} \mathbb{P}(L^\perp),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_L := \mathcal{H} \times_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \mathbb{P}(L).$$

Projective duality

Baselocus X_{L^\perp} of $L \subseteq H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is contained in every fibre of $\mathcal{H}_L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(L)$, giving a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{L^\perp} \times \mathbb{P}(L) & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{H}_L \xrightarrow{\iota} X \times \mathbb{P}(L) \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ X_{L^\perp} & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

Notice that

- ▶ π has general fibre $\mathbb{P}^{\ell-2}$ ($\ell := \dim L$)
- ▶ over X_{L^\perp} the fibre is $\mathbb{P}(L) = \mathbb{P}^{\ell-1}$

E.g. $\ell = 2$ then $\mathcal{H}_L \rightarrow X$ is blow up in codimension-two $X_{L^\perp} \subset X$.

Homological projective duality I

Suppose that $\dim X_{L^\perp} = \dim X - \ell$.

The above diagram gives an inclusion of the derived category of X_{L^\perp} into that of the universal hypersurface \mathcal{H}_L over the linear system:

$$j_* p^*: D(X_{L^\perp}) \hookrightarrow D(\mathcal{H}_L).$$

π^* is also full and faithful. Together these give a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D(\mathcal{H}_L) = \left\langle D(X_{L^\perp}), \pi^* D(X)(0, 1), \dots, \pi^* D(X)(0, \ell - 1) \right\rangle.$$

(i, j) is twist by $\mathcal{O}_X(i) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(j)$ (restricted to $\mathcal{H}_L \subset X \times \mathbb{P}(L)$).

The “interesting part” of the derived category

Want to make $D(\mathcal{H})$ smaller; more comparable to $D(X)$.

Cut it down to its “interesting part” (Kuznetsov).

Standard example: start with $D(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) = \langle \mathcal{O}, \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1) \rangle$.

Restrict to degree- d hypersurface $H \subset X$, then $\mathcal{O}(d), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1)$ remains exceptional semi-orthogonal collection on restriction to H .

Define “interesting part” of $D(H)$ to be its right orthogonal:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_H &:= \langle \mathcal{O}_H(d), \mathcal{O}_H(d+1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_H(n-1) \rangle^\perp \\ &= \{E \in D(H) : R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(i), E) = 0 \text{ for } i = d, \dots, n-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$D(H) = \langle \mathcal{C}_H, \mathcal{O}_H(d), \mathcal{O}_H(d+1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_H(n-1) \rangle.$$

Amazingly, \mathcal{C}_H is always a *fractional Calabi-Yau* category.

Examples

Interesting examples include $(d = 2, n \text{ even})$ and $(d = 3, n = 6)$:

- ▶ **Even dimensional quadrics.** \mathcal{C}_H is generated by two spinor bundles which are exceptional and orthogonal to each other;

$$\mathcal{C}_H \cong D(\text{pt} \sqcup \text{pt}).$$

Families \rightsquigarrow double covers of linear systems of quadrics.

- ▶ **Cubic fourfolds.** \mathcal{C}_H is the derived category of a K3 surface, noncommutative in general.

2-dimensional CY category $R\text{Hom}(E, F)^* \cong R\text{Hom}(F, E)[2]$, deformation of $D(K3)$.

“Explains” the Beauville-Donagi holomorphic symplectic form on the Fano variety $F(H)$ of lines in H . $F(H)$ is a moduli space of objects $\pi_{\mathcal{C}_H}(\mathcal{I}_L) \in \mathcal{C}_H$ so inherits Mukai’s symplectic structure.

More later!

Families

Put categories $\mathcal{C}_H \subset D(\mathcal{H})$ together over the $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(d)))$ family of all H s. So:

- ▶ Set $V = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(d))$
- ▶ For $L \subseteq V$, with universal hypersurface $\mathcal{H}_L \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}(L)$,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}_L} := \langle D(\mathbb{P}(L))(d, 0), D(\mathbb{P}(L))(d+1, 0), \dots, D(\mathbb{P}(L))(n-1, 0) \rangle^\perp$$

so that $D(\mathcal{H}_L)$ is

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}_L}, D(\mathbb{P}(L))(d, 0), D(\mathbb{P}(L))(d+1, 0), \dots, D(\mathbb{P}(L))(n-1, 0) \rangle$$

- ▶ Putting $L = V$ gives $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$, the **HP dual** of $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(d))$.

Soon we will refine HPD I by replacing $D(\mathcal{H}_L)$ with $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}_L}$.

Lefschetz collections

For general $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ Kuznetsov replaces the Beilinson decomposition with a (rectangular) **Lefschetz decomposition**:

- ▶ an admissible subcategory $\mathcal{A} \subseteq D(X)$
- ▶ generating a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$D(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}(1), \dots, \mathcal{A}(i-1) \rangle.$$

(Above example is $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = (\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(d))$ and $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(d-1) \rangle$ with $i = n/d$. If $d \mid n$ can use non-rectangular Lefschetz collection.)

Setting $\mathcal{C}_H := \langle \mathcal{A}(1), \mathcal{A}(2), \dots, \mathcal{A}(i-1) \rangle^\perp \subset D(H)$ (for $H \in |\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$) to be the interesting part of $D(H)$ gives

$$D(H) = \langle \mathcal{C}_H, \mathcal{A}(1), \mathcal{A}(2), \dots, \mathcal{A}(i-1) \rangle.$$

Lefschetz collections and families

Similarly for $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ and $L \subseteq V$ we set

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}_L} := \langle \mathcal{A}(1) \boxtimes D(\mathbb{P}(L)), \dots, \mathcal{A}(i-1) \boxtimes D(\mathbb{P}(L)) \rangle^\perp$$

so that $D(\mathcal{H}_L)$ is

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}_L}, D(\mathbb{P}(L))(d, 0), D(\mathbb{P}(L))(d+1, 0), \dots, D(\mathbb{P}(L))(n-1, 0) \rangle$$

Putting $L = V$ gives $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$, the **HP dual** of $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ with the (rectangular) Lefschetz decomposition $D(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}, \dots, \mathcal{A}(i-1) \rangle$.

(Kuznetsov asks for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$ to be *geometric*: i.e. to have a $D(\mathbb{P}(V))$ -linear equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}} \cong D(Y)$ for some $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$.)

Picturing HPD I

$$D(\mathcal{H}_L) = \langle D(X_{L^\perp}), \pi^* D(X)(0, 1), \dots, \pi^* D(X)(0, \ell - 1) \rangle$$

$\mathcal{A}(0, \ell - 1)$	$\mathcal{A}(1, \ell - 1)$			$\mathcal{A}(i-1, \ell - 1)$
⋮	⋮				⋮
⋮	⋮				⋮
⋮	⋮				⋮
⋮	⋮				⋮
$\mathcal{A}(0, 1)$	$\mathcal{A}(1, 1)$			$\mathcal{A}(i-1, 1)$

$D(X_{L^\perp})$

Picturing interesting part of $D(\mathcal{H}_L)$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}_L} = \langle \mathcal{A}(1) \boxtimes D(\mathbb{P}(L)), \dots, \mathcal{A}(i-1) \boxtimes D(\mathbb{P}(L)) \rangle^\perp$$

$(0, \ell-1)$	$(1, \ell-1)$			$(i-1, \ell-1)$
⋮	⋮				⋮
⋮	⋮				⋮
⋮	⋮				⋮
⋮	⋮				⋮
$(0, 1)$	$(1, 1)$			$(i-1, 1)$
	$(1, 0)$		$(i-2, 0)$	$(i-1, 0)$

$D(X_{L^\perp})$

HPD II

Projecting (“mutating”) the grey boxes into the white boxes in first column we find

Theorem (Kuznetsov)

▶ If $\ell > i$ then

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}_L} = \langle D(X_{L^\perp}), \mathcal{A}(0, 1), \mathcal{A}(0, 2), \dots, \mathcal{A}(0, \ell - i) \rangle$$

▶ If $\ell = i$ then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}_L} \cong D(X_{L^\perp})$

▶ If $\ell < i$ then

$$D(X_{L^\perp}) = \langle \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}_L}, \mathcal{A}(1, 0), \mathcal{A}(2, 0), \dots, \mathcal{A}(i - \ell, 0) \rangle$$

Looks like a duality now! $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ and its HP dual $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}/D(\mathbb{P}(V))$ have similar size and are on the same footing.

Passing to a codimension- ℓ linear section,

- ▶ lose the first ℓ copies of $\mathcal{A}(\cdot)$
- ▶ gain (restriction to X_{L^\perp} of) the $\mathbb{P}(L)$ family of categories \mathcal{C}_H as H runs through the hyperplanes containing X_{L^\perp}

Example I (with Addington)

Cubic fourfold $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. Hodge diamond

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & 21 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 \end{array}$$

Generically $H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle h^2 \rangle$, but **special** X have an extra class $T \in H_{\text{prim}}^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Hassett determined when the integral Hodge structure $\langle h^2, T \rangle^\perp \subset H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is isometric to (Tate twist of) $H_{\text{prim}}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ for some polarised K3 surface S .

Kuznetsov: $D(X) \cong \langle \mathcal{C}_X, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2) \rangle$ where \mathcal{C}_X is a noncommutative K3 and sometimes really $\cong D(K3)$.

We showed (more-or-less) that X is Hassett \iff Kuznetsov.

Cubic fourfolds containing a plane

Cubic fourfold $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ containing a plane $P \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$.
Defines another plane

$$\mathbb{P}^2 := \{3\text{-planes } P \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^5\}$$

Such a 3-plane intersects X in a singular cubic surface $P \cup Q$.
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ family of quadric surfaces Q ; in fact

$$\mathrm{Bl}_P X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

is a quadric surface fibration, generic fibre $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, singular fibres
(cone over a conic) over discriminant sextic curve $\subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

$$S := \{\text{rulings of fibres}\}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^2 \end{array}$$

is the double cover corresponding to the 2 objects in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fibre}}$.
(Branched over discriminant sextic curve.)

$S \ni s$ parameterises the sheaves $\iota_* \mathcal{I}_L$ on X , where L is any line in the ruling corresponding to the point $s \in S$.

Projecting into $\mathcal{C}_X \subset D(X)$, find S is a moduli space of objects in \mathcal{C}_X . Gives

Theorem (Kuznetsov)

Universal object on $S \times X$ gives an equivalence
 $D(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_X \subset D(X)$.

(Modulo Brauer class issues.)

We use this description and deformation theory to reach all other divisors of special cubic fourfolds (where a different description of $\mathcal{C}_X \cong D(K3)$ holds).

Example II (with Calabrese)

Two ways to get a Calabi-Yau 3-fold from a pencil of cubic 4-folds:

- ▶ $X = X_{3,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is baselocus of the pencil, i.e. the intersection of two cubic fourfolds,
- ▶ $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is (noncommutative) K3 fibration associated to the universal cubic fourfold over the pencil \mathbb{P}^1 .

Choose *special* cubic fourfolds so Y geometric (commutative).

Then HPD predicts

$$D(X) = D(Y).$$

Sheaf on $Y \rightsquigarrow$ sheaf on each cubic fourfold fibre \rightsquigarrow sheaf on baselocus X (contained in each fibre)

Derived (auto)equivalences of CY 3-folds

Pencil *special* $\Rightarrow X, Y$ both singular.

For cubic fourfolds containing a plane we were able to resolve crepantly to give *non-birational* Calabi-Yau 3-folds \hat{X}, \hat{Y} with

$$D(\hat{X}) \cong D(\hat{Y}).$$

For cubic fourfolds with an ODP we were able to resolve crepantly to give *birational* Calabi-Yau 3-folds \hat{X}, \hat{Y} with an autoequivalence

$$D(\hat{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(\hat{X}).$$

Example III (with Segal)

The Grassmannian of 2-planes in \mathbb{C}^{2n} ,

$$\text{Gr}(2, 2n) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^{2n})$$

has classical projective dual the Pfaffian variety of degenerate 2-forms (rank $\leq 2n - 2$) on \mathbb{C}^{2n} :

$$\text{Pf}(2n - 2, 2n) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n})^*).$$

Pf is a (singular) degree- n hypersurface $\{\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n})^* : \omega^n = 0\}$.

Kuznetsov conjectures one can pass to a “categorical crepant resolution” so that $\text{Pf} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^*$ becomes HP dual to $\text{Gr} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$.

Taking $L \subset \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(L^\perp) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n})^*)$ misses the singularities of Pf, would get relation

$$D(\text{Gr} \cap \mathbb{P}(L)) \longleftrightarrow D(\text{Pf} \cap \mathbb{P}(L^\perp))$$

Quintic 3-fold

We prove this under some conditions by different methods (“LG models” or matrix factorisations)

Taking $\ell = \dim L = 40$, for instance, gives full and faithful embeddings

$$D(\text{Pf} \cap \mathbb{P}^4) \hookrightarrow D(\text{Gr} \cap \mathbb{P}^{39}).$$

LHS is a quintic 3-fold.

Beauville shows the *general* quintic 3-fold is Pfaffian.

RHS is Fano 11-fold, linear section of $\text{Gr}(2,10)$.