

Thm. $[X, K(A, n)]_* \cong H^n(X, A)$.

sketch. $H^n(K(A, n), A) \cong \text{Hom}(H_n(A), A)$
 \parallel
 $\pi_n K(A, n)$.

Set $\alpha \in H^n(K(A, n), A)$ the image of Hurewicz.
Given $X \xrightarrow{f} K(A, n)$, get $f^*(\alpha) \in H^n(X, A)$.

Idea: it's true for $X = S^{\text{mk}}$. So, it should be true in general.

Orientability. ~~BSO_n \to B\mathbb{Z}/2 \cong K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)~~

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{BSO}_n \longrightarrow B\mathbb{Z}/2 \cong K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \\ \uparrow \\ \text{fibr of } \text{BSO}_n \longrightarrow (\text{BSO}_n)_1 \cong B\mathbb{Z}/2. \end{array}$$

This was in lecture 17.

The first Stiefel-Whitney class: $w_1(\xi) \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$

The obstruction to orientability.

What we'll actually prove is that the system of spaces $\{K(A, n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ forms an Ω -spectrum and hence represents a ^{reduced} cohomology theory, and we'll show that any cohomology theory h^* satisfying with

$$h^i(*) = \begin{cases} A & i=0 \\ 0 & i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

agrees with singular cohomology with coefficients in A .

Cohomology Theories. A reduced cohomology theory for ^{pointed} CW complexes consists of a system of functors

$$\tilde{h}^n: \text{CW}_* \rightarrow \text{Ab}$$

together with

$$\delta: \tilde{h}^n(A) \rightarrow \tilde{h}^{n+1}(X/A)$$

for CW pairs (X, A) such that

(1) if $f = g: X \rightarrow Y$, then $f^* = g^*: \tilde{h}^n(Y) \rightarrow \tilde{h}^n(X)$;

(2) there are ^{natural} LESs

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\delta} \tilde{h}^n(X/A) \rightarrow \tilde{h}^n(X) \rightarrow \tilde{h}^n(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} \tilde{h}^{n+1}(X/A) \rightarrow \dots$$

for CW pairs (X, A) ;

(3) the natural maps

$$\tilde{h}^n\left(\bigvee_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} \tilde{h}^n(X_{\alpha})$$

are all isos.

Review of reduced versus non-reduced.

To get a non-reduced theory, ^(on non-pointed CW complexes) set

$$h^n(X) = \tilde{h}^n(X_+).$$

Given a non-reduced theory $\tilde{h}^n(X)$, set

$$\tilde{h}^n(X) = \text{coker} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} h^n(\text{pt}) & \rightarrow & h^n(X) \\ \uparrow & & \\ \text{incl from } \text{map } & & \\ \text{m.p } X \rightarrow \text{pt.} & & \end{array} \right)$$

For a pair, set



$$h^n(X, A) = \tilde{h}^n(X/A).$$

If X is nonempty,

$$h^n(X) \cong \tilde{h}^n(X) \oplus h^n(\text{pt})$$

$$\cong \tilde{h}^n(S^0).$$

The groups $h^n(\text{pt}) \cong \tilde{h}^n(S^0)$ are called the coefficient groups

of the cohomology theory.

If $h^n(\text{pt}) \cong \tilde{h}^n(S^0) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$,

\tilde{h} satisfies the dimension axiom.

Q. How do you get natural maps

$$\tilde{h}^n(X) \cong \tilde{h}^{n+1}(\Sigma X)?$$

See end of Hatcher Sec. 2.3.

Use $SX = \Sigma X$ for X CW, and decompose SX into two cones. Use Mayer-Vietoris, which is derived from axioms (2) and (3), and (1) since CX is contractible.

A natural question is when is a cohomology theory representable?
 I.e., when do we have natural isos

$$[X, K_n]_* \cong h^n(X)?$$

One obvious candidate is

$$\begin{array}{l} [X, K_n]_* \cong h^n(X) \\ \parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ [\Sigma X, K_{n+1}]_* \cong h^{n+1}(\Sigma X) \\ \parallel \\ [X, \Omega K_{n+1}]_* \end{array}$$

This should be induced from $K_n \rightarrow \Omega K_{n+1}$, or
 $\Sigma K_n \rightarrow K_{n+1}$.

Def. A spectrum consists of pointed spaces $\{K_n\}_{n \geq 0}$
 together with mps $s_n: \Sigma K_n \rightarrow K_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

A spectrum is an Ω -spectrum if the adjoint mps

$$t_n: K_n \rightarrow \Omega K_{n+1}$$

are isos.

Rem. In order for a spectrum to represent a cohomology theory,
 it must be an Ω -spectrum.