Rational Homotopy Theory - Lecture 17

BENJAMIN ANTIEAU

1. The model category on rational CDGAS

Throughout this section, $Ch = Ch_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq 0}$ denotes the category of non-negatively graded rational cochain complexes, and $cdga = cdga_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq 0}$ is the category of commutative algebra objects in $Ch_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq 0}$. Recall that we introduced two model category structures on Ch. One is the injective model category structure, in which the weak equivalences are the quasi-isomorphisms and the cofibrations are the positive-degreewise monomorphisms. The other has weak equivalences the quasi-isomorphisms and fibrations the degree-wise surjections. In this section we view Ch as equipped with the second model category structure, which we will call the **big** model category structure.

Theorem 1.1. There is a (big) model category structure on cdga with weak equivalences the quasi-isomorphisms and fibrations the degree-wise surjections making the adjunction

$$Sym : Ch \rightleftharpoons cdga : U$$

a Quillen adjunction, where Sym is the free commutative algebra functor and U is the forgetful functor.

Proof. Since U preserves fibrations and acyclic fibrations, it is enough to prove that the claimed classes of morphisms are part of a model category structure on cdga. The proof is basically the same as the proof of the big model category structure on Ch, which we explained in detail in Lectures 7 and 8. The main differences are that we use different elementary cofibrations. This time, we let S(n) (for $n \geq 0$) be the free commutative Q-algebra on an element x of degree n, with d(x) = 0. The algebra D(n) (for $n \geq 1$) is the free commutative algebra on elements x, y of degree n - 1 and n, respectively, with d(x) = y. Besides this change in definitions, the proof remains exactly the same as for Ch. To convince you, let me do a single example, the verification of the first part of M4. So, let $f: X \to Y$ be an arbitrary map in cdga. We want to factor f as an acyclic cofibration followed by a fibration. We define Y_f as

$$Y_f = X \otimes \bigotimes_{y \in Y} D(|y| + 1).$$

Then, there are natural maps $X \to Y_f \to Y$, and $Y_f \to Y$ is surjective, so it is a fibration. The map $X \to Y_f$ is a quasi-isomorphism and it is easily seen to be a cofibration.

Exercise 1.2. Prove that S(n) and D(n) are indeed cofibrant, and that $S(n-1) \to D(n)$ is a cofibration.

Of course, the same model category structure exists on $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 0}$, so we can wonder about the existence of a compatible model category structure on $\mathrm{cdga}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 0}$.

Proposition 1.3. Consider the adjunction

$$\operatorname{Sym}: \operatorname{Ch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 0} \rightleftarrows \operatorname{cdga}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\operatorname{cdga}}: U.$$

There is no model category structure $\operatorname{cdga}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 0}$ where the weak equivalences are the quasi-isomorphisms and where this adjunction is a Quillen adjunction where $\operatorname{Ch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 0}$ is given either the big or the injective model category structure.

Date: 15 March 2016.

1

Proof. Consider the cdga D(2) as in the proof of the theorem. What is its homology over \mathbb{Z} ? As an algebra, D(2) is $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]/(2x^2)$, where |x|=1, |y|=2, and d(x)=y. Now, $d(x^my^n)=mx^{m-1}y^n$, which is zero if $m\geq 4$ and m is even. Hence, the monomials $mx^{m-1}y^n$ for $m\geq 0$, m even, and $n\geq 1$ represent non-zero homology classes. It follows that Sym does not preserve acyclic cofibrations.

2. Comma categories

Let $x \in C$. A **comma category** is a category of objects over or under x. Specifically, the under-category $C_{x/}$ is the category of objects of C equipped with a map from x, while the over-category $C_{/x}$ is the category of objects of C equipped with a map to x.

Proposition 2.1. Suppose that M is a model category and $X \in M$. Then, $M_{/X}$ and $M_{X/}$ are model categories where a morphism in one is in W, C, F if the underlying morphism in M is in W, C, F, respectively.

Proof. Exercise.

Example 2.2. The model category of pointed simplicial sets is sSets_{*/}, but we will usually write this as sSets_{*}.

Example 2.3. The model category of augmented cdgas is $(\operatorname{cdga}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \operatorname{cdga}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\geq 0})_{/\mathbb{Q}}$.

3. Commutative dgas as a (co)simplicial model category

We will not need the full structure of the (co)simplicial model category, but we will need the mapping spaces and the action objects. So, let R be a cdga, and let X be a simplicial set. How should we define $R \otimes X$? Well, we simply define it as

$$R \otimes X = R \otimes A^*(X) = R \otimes A^*_{\nabla}(X).$$

Why am I throwing around (co)simplicial? Note that $R \otimes (-)$ is contravariant in maps of simplicial sets with this definition. Hence, the slightly different convention. This doesn't cause any issues.

The mapping space $\operatorname{map}_{\operatorname{cdga}}(R,S)$ is the simplicial set with *p*-simplices

$$\operatorname{map}_{\operatorname{cdga}}(R,S)_p = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cdga}}(R,\nabla(p,*)\otimes S) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cdga}_{\nabla(p,*)}}(\nabla(p,*)\otimes R,\nabla(p,*)\otimes S).$$

Exercise 3.1. Define composition $\operatorname{map}_{\operatorname{cdga}}(S,T) \times \operatorname{map}_{\operatorname{cdga}}(R,S) \to \operatorname{map}_{\operatorname{cdga}}(R,T)$.

References

- J. Adámek and J. Rosický, Locally presentable and accessible categories, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 189, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [2] A. K. Bousfield and V. K. A. M. Gugenheim, On PL de Rham theory and rational homotopy type, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1976), no. 179, ix+94.
- W. G. Dwyer and J. Spaliński, Homotopy theories and model categories, Handbook of algebraic topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 73–126.
- [4] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas, Rational homotopy theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [5] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine, Simplicial homotopy theory, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 174, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [6] P. Goerss and K. Schemmerhorn, Model categories and simplicial methods, Interactions between homotopy theory and algebra, Contemp. Math., vol. 436, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 3–49.
- [7] D. G. Quillen, Homotopical algebra, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.
- [8] C. A. Weibel, An introduction to homological algebra, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.