

Math 320-3: Midterm 1 Solutions

Northwestern University, Spring 2020

1. Give an example of each of the following. You do not have to justify your answer.
- A subset of \mathbb{R} whose boundary is all of \mathbb{R} .
 - A function $f(x, y)$ such that $f_x(0, 0)$ does not exist but $f_y(0, 0)$ does.
 - A differentiable function $f(x, y)$ such that f_x is not continuous at $(0, 0)$.
 - A differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$D(f \circ g)(x, y) = [4xy + x^2 \quad 2xy + x^2]$$

where $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is the function $g(x, y) = (2x + y, x + y)$. (Hint: You can determine $Df(x, y)$ explicitly from the given information. Recall that $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$.)

Solution. (a) The boundary of $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is \mathbb{R} : any interval around any real number includes both elements of \mathbb{Q} and elements of \mathbb{Q}^c since both the rationals and irrationals are dense in \mathbb{R} .

(b) Take the function defined by $f(0, y) = 1$ for $y \neq 0$ and $f(x, y) = 0$ everywhere else, including at the origin. Then the single-variable function $f(x, 0)$ equals the constant zero, so its derivative—which is $f_x(0, 0)$ —exists and equals zero. But $f(0, y)$ is 1 at all $y \neq 0$ and 0 as $y = 0$, so it is not continuous and hence not differentiable with respect to y , meaning $f_y(0, 0)$ does not exist.

(c) Take the function defined by $f(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2) \sin(1/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$ for $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ and $f(0, 0) = 0$. (This is a two-dimensional analog of $f(x) = x^2 \sin(1/x)$, which we used in the fall as an example of a differentiable function with discontinuous derivative.) Since

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{0}) - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{(h^2 + k^2) \sin(1/\sqrt{h^2 + k^2})}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2}} = 0$$

(bound the sine part and use the squeeze theorem), f is differentiable at $\mathbf{0}$ with $f_x(0, 0) = 0$. The value of f_x elsewhere is obtained using the product and chain rules: for $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$:

$$f_x(x, y) = 2x \sin(1/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) - (x/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \cos(1/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}).$$

When approaching along $y = 0$ the factor in front of the cosine term becomes $x/|x|$, which does not have a limit as $x \rightarrow 0$, so the limit of $f_x(x, y)$ as $(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ does not exist, and hence f_x is not continuous.

(d) **No such example exists! I made a mistake when formulating this problem, and at some point turned $g \circ f$ into $f \circ g$ without checking to see if the problem still made sense. Alas, it doesn't. I'll answer the problem with what I *thought* was going to be the answer, and point out why it does not work. Everyone will get credit for this part.** The function $f(x, y) = x^2y$ is what I thought should work. Indeed, the chain rule gives:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4xy + x^2 & 2xy + x^2 \end{bmatrix} = D(f \circ g)(x, y) = Df(g(x, y))Dg(x, y) = Df(g(x, y)) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Multiplying both sides by the inverse of the matrix on the right gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4xy + x^2 & 2xy + x^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = Df(g(x, y)), \text{ so } Df(g(x, y)) = \begin{bmatrix} 2xy & x^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

My mistake was in forgetting that this is the Jacobian matrix evaluated at $g(x, y) = (2x + y, x + y)$, not at (x, y) ! If instead we knew that $Df(x, y)$ was equal to this matrix, then we would need $f_x = 2xy$ and $f_y = x^2$, so that $f(x, y) = x^2y$ does work.

But knowing that $Df(2x+y, x+y)$ instead is equal to this matrix makes the problem impossible. Set $u = 2x + y, v = x + y$, so that $x = u - v, y = 2v - u$. Then the equality above turns into

$$Df(u, v) = [2(u - v)(2v - u) \quad (u - v)^2].$$

Thus we need $f_u = 2(u - v)(2v - u) = -2u^2 + 6uv - 4v^2$ and $f_v = (u - v)^2 = u^2 - 2uv + v^2$, but no such f exists: the value for f_u requires that $f(u, v)$ have a $3u^2v$ term in it, but this would give a $3u^2$ term in f_u , which is not present. Whoops! \square

2. Let A be the region in \mathbb{R}^2 which lies within the the square $[-5, 5] \times [-5, 5]$ and outside the square $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$. Show that A is connected. (Recall $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ denotes the rectangle consisting of points (x, y) with $a \leq x \leq b$ and $c \leq y \leq d$. A proof which relies on pictures alone is not enough.)

Proof. We show that A is path-connected, which implies it is connected. Let us first show that any point in A can be connected to the upper-left corner point $(-5, 5) \in A$ via a continuous path. Let $(x, y) \in A$. If $x < 1$, so that (x, y) does not lie in the right-most strip of A with $1 \leq x \leq 5$, then $\gamma_1(t) = (-5t + (1 - t)x, y)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ gives the horizontal line segment from (x, y) to $(-5, y)$, and $\gamma_2(t) = (-5, 5t + (1 - t)y), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ the vertical segment from $(-5, y)$ to $(-5, 5)$, so that concatenating these two gives a continuous path from (x, y) to $(-5, 5)$. If (x, y) lies in the right-most strip $1 \leq x \leq 5$, $\gamma_1(t) = (x, 5t + (1 - t)y), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $\gamma_2(t) = (-5t + (1 - t)x, 5), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ respectively give the vertical segment from (x, y) to $(x, 5)$ and horizontal segment from $(x, 5)$ to $(-5, 5)$, and concatenating these gives a path from (x, y) to $(-5, 5)$.

Now, given any two $(x, y), (a, b) \in A$, we can take the path from (x, y) to $(-5, 5)$ described above, and the path from $(-5, 5)$ to (a, b) described above (or rather, the reverse of the path from (a, b) to $(-5, 5)$), and concatenate these to get a path from (x, y) to (a, b) . Thus A is path-connected. \square

3. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the function defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2x^2y - 3x^4}{x^2 + y^2}, 4x + y^2 \right) & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ (0, 0) & (x, y) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

Show that f is continuous but not differentiable at $(0, 0)$.

Proof. Denote the components of f by $f = (f_1, f_2)$. Since $|x| = \sqrt{x^2} \leq \|(x, y)\|$ and similarly $|y| \leq \|(x, y)\|$, for $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ we have:

$$|f_1(x, y)| = \left| \frac{2x^2y - 3x^4}{x^2 + y^2} \right| \leq \frac{2|x^2||y| + 3|x^4|}{x^2 + y^2} \leq \frac{2\|(x, y)\|^3 + 3\|(x, y)\|^4}{\|(x, y)\|^2} = 2\|(x, y)\| + 3\|(x, y)\|^2.$$

The right side approaches 0 as $(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)$, so $f_1(x, y)$ does as well by the squeeze theorem. Also, for $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$:

$$|f_2(x, y)| = |4x + y^2| \leq 4|x| + |y|^2 \leq 4\|(x, y)\| + \|(x, y)\|^2,$$

so $f_2(x, y)$ approaches 0 as $(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ since the right side does. (Using polar coordinates is also fine.) Thus $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y) = (0, 0) = f(0, 0)$, so f is continuous at $(0, 0)$.

To show that f is not differentiable at $(0, 0)$, we show that the first component is not differentiable at $(0, 0)$. We have

$$f_1(x, 0) = -3x^2 \text{ for } x \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_1(0, y) = 0,$$

so $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(0,0) = \frac{d}{dx}\Big|_{x=0} - 3x^2 = 0$ and $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y}(0,0) = 0$. Thus $Df_1(0,0) = [0 \ 0]$, so

$$\frac{f_1(\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{h}) - f_1(\mathbf{0}) - Df_1(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \frac{2h^2k - 3h^4}{(h^2 + k^2)^{3/2}}$$

where $\mathbf{h} = (h, k)$. In polar coordinates $h = r \cos \theta, k = r \sin \theta$, this becomes

$$2 \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta - 3r \cos^4 \theta,$$

so we see that the limit as $r \rightarrow 0$ (or equivalently $\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$) does not exist since the value depends on what happens to θ . Thus the limit defining differentiability of f_1 at $(0,0)$ does not exist, so f_1 and hence f is not differentiable at $(0,0)$. \square

4. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and define $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x, y) = xf(x, y)$. Show that g is differentiable at any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ using the definition of differentiability directly.

Proof. First, we have:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y) = f(x, y) + x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, y) = x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y).$$

Thus $Dg(x, y)$ exists and

$$Dg(x, y) = \left[f(x, y) + x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) \quad x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right].$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - g(\mathbf{x}) - Dg(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} &= \frac{g(x+h, y+k) - g(x, y) - \left[f(x, y) + x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) \quad x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right] \begin{bmatrix} h \\ k \end{bmatrix}}{\|(h, k)\|} \\ &= \frac{(x+h)f(x+h, y+k) - xf(x, y) - f(x, y)h - xDf(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} h \\ k \end{bmatrix}}{\|(h, k)\|} \\ &= x \left(\frac{f(x+h, y+k) - f(x, y) - Df(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} h \\ k \end{bmatrix}}{\|(h, k)\|} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{hf(x+h, y+k) - f(x, y)h}{\|(h, k)\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is differentiable at (x, y) , the expression in parentheses above has limit 0 as (h, k) approaches $(0, 0)$. For the second term, using $|h| \leq \|(h, k)\|$ we have:

$$\left| \frac{hf(x+h, y+k) - f(x, y)h}{\|(h, k)\|} \right| = \frac{|h| |f(x+h, y+k) - f(x, y)|}{\|(h, k)\|} \leq |f(x+h, y+k) - f(x, y)|.$$

Since f is continuous (because it is differentiable), $f(x+h, y+k) \rightarrow f(x, y)$ as $(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)$, so this term on the right goes to 0 and hence so does the expression on the left by the squeeze theorem. Thus both terms in the limit defining differentiability of g at (x, y) go to 0 as $\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, which shows that g is differentiable at (x, y) . \square

5. Suppose $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ are differentiable and satisfy

$$F(x, g_1(x), g_2(x)) = \mathbf{0} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $g(x) = (g_1(x), g_2(x))$. Write the Jacobian matrix of F at a point $(x, g_1(x), g_2(x))$ as

$$DF(x, g_1(x), g_2(x)) = [\mathbf{b} \quad A]$$

where \mathbf{b} is the 2×1 matrix making up the first column of $DF(x, g_1(x), g_2(x))$ and A the 2×2 matrix making up the final two columns. If A is invertible, show that

$$Dg(x) = -A^{-1}\mathbf{b}.$$

Hint: View $F(x, g_1(x), g_2(x))$ as the result of composing the function $h(x) = (x, g_1(x), g_2(x))$ with F . We did a similar problem as a Warm-Up when discussing the chain rule, only in that case g (or perhaps we called it f) was a function with only one component.

Proof. Define $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ by $h(x) = (x, g_1(x), g_2(x))$, which is differentiable since each component is differentiable. By assumption, we have

$$F(h(x)) = F(x, g_1(x), g_2(x)) = \mathbf{0} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The composition $F \circ h$ is differentiable by the chain rule, and

$$D(F \circ h)(x) = DF(h(x))Dh(x) = [\mathbf{b} \quad A] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ g'_1(x) \\ g'_2(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the product on the right, the entries of \mathbf{b} are multiplied by the 1 in the vector at the end, and the entries of A are multiplied by $g'_1(x)$ (first column) and $g'_2(x)$ (second column). The result of this product is thus

$$D(F \circ h)(x) = \mathbf{b} + A \begin{bmatrix} g'_1(x) \\ g'_2(x) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{b} + ADg(x).$$

(Note $Dg(x)$ is 2×1 .)

On the other hand, $F \circ h$ is the constant 0, so its Jacobian matrix should be the zero matrix. Thus

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{b} + ADg(x), \text{ and thus } ADg(x) = -\mathbf{b}.$$

Multiplying both sides on the left by A^{-1} gives $Dg(x) = -A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ as claimed.

□