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We study a quasi-invariance property of the Wiener measure on the path space over a compact Riemannian manifold which generalizes the well-known Cameron-Martin theorem for Euclidean space. This property is used to prove an integration by parts formula for the gradient operator. We use the integration by parts formula to compute explicitly the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator in the path space.

1. Introduction

The general setting of this paper is as follows. Let $M$ be a compact Riemannian manifold $M$ of dimension $d$. We use $O(M)$ to denote the bundle of orthonormal frames over $M$. Let $o \in M$ be a fixed point on $M$ and $u_o \in O(M)$ a fixed orthonormal frame over $o$. We will use $W_o(M)$ and $W_o(O(M))$ to denote the (pinned) path spaces based on $M$ and $O(M)$, namely the spaces of continuous functions from the unit interval $[0,1]$ to $M$ and $O(M)$ starting from $o$ and $u_o$, respectively. The notations $W_o(M)$ and $W_o(O(M))$ denote the subset of smooth paths of $W_o(M)$ and $W_o(O(M))$ respectively. Similar notations apply when $M$ is replaced by $\mathbb{R}^d$, in which case $o$ is taken to be the origin.

Let $\gamma \in W_o(M)$. An element $h \in W_o(\mathbb{R}^d)$ determines a vector field $D_h(\gamma)$ along $\gamma$ by letting $D_h(\gamma)_s = U(\gamma)_s, h_s$, where $s \mapsto U(\gamma)_s$ is the horizontal lift of $\gamma$ to $O(M)$ with the initial condition $U(\gamma)_0 = u_o$. Thus each $h \in W_o(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defines a vector field $D_h$ on the smooth path space $W_o(M)$.
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Let \( F \) be a real-valued function on the smooth path space \( W^\omega_o(M) \). We define the directional derivative at \( \gamma \) along the direction \( h \) by

\[
D_h F(\gamma) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{F(\zeta_h^t \gamma) - F(\gamma)}{t}
\]

if the limit exists. Here \( \{ \zeta^t_h \gamma, t \geq 0 \} \) is an integral curve of the vector field \( D_h \) starting from \( \gamma \), namely,

\[
\frac{d\zeta^t_h \gamma}{dt} = D_h \gamma, \quad \zeta^0_h \gamma = \gamma.
\]

The gradient \( D F(\gamma) \) at \( \gamma \) is defined as follows. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be the \( \mathbb{R}^d \)-valued Cameron–Martin space, namely, the completion of the space of smooth paths in \( W_o(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with respect to the Hilbert norm

\[
|h|_\mathcal{H} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^1 |\hat{h}^i(s)|^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2}.
\]

The gradient \( D F(\gamma) \), if it exists, is the unique element in \( \mathcal{H} \) satisfying the condition

\[
\langle DF(\gamma), h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = D_h F(\gamma) \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

The directional derivative operator \( D_h \) and the gradient operator \( D \), properly extended to a closed operator on \( L^2(W_o(M), \nu) \) with \( \nu \) the Wiener measure, will play a role similar to the usual gradient operator on a finite-dimensional manifold and will be used to define the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator on \( W_o(M) \), which generalizes the usual Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator on euclidean path spaces. Our analysis on the path space \( W_o(M) \) is based on the Wiener measure \( \nu \), the law of the Riemannian Brownian motion on \( M \) starting from \( o \). We will show in what sense the vector field \( D_h \) (with \( h \in \mathcal{H} \)) generates a flow \( \{ \zeta^t_h, t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} \) on the path space \( W_o(M) \). For a successful integration of the gradient operator \( D \) and the Wiener measure \( \nu \) into an analytical theory of the path space \( W_o(M) \), the quasi-invariance of \( \nu \) under the flow \( \{ \zeta^t_h, t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} \) is a highly desirable property. We say that the Wiener measure \( \nu \) is quasi-invariant under the flow \( \{ \zeta^t_h, t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} \) if for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \), the measures \( \nu^t = \nu \cdot (\zeta^t_h)^{-1} \) and \( \nu \) are mutually absolutely continuous. It is helpful to point out at this point that the existence and the quasi-invariance of the flow are two closely related problems and have to be dealt with simultaneously. In the case where the base manifold \( M = \mathbb{R}^d \), the quasi-invariance property is the well-known Cameron–Martin theorem for the euclidean Wiener measure.
The problem of existence and quasi-invariance of the flow generated by $D_h$ has a long history, but the first significant progress for a general compact Riemannian manifold $M$ was made by Driver [2], who proved the quasi-invariance property of the flow $\{\zeta^t_h, t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ for all Lipschitz $h$. To extend this quasi-invariance property to its natural domain, namely for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, is the main task of the present work. For the history of the problems discussed here, see the relevant passages and the references in Driver [2].

The quasi-invariance property of the Wiener measure can be used to prove an integration by parts formula for the gradient operator $D: L^2(v) \to L^2(\mathcal{H})$ in a natural way, where $L^2(v)$ and $L^2(\mathcal{H})$ are the spaces of $\mathbb{R}^1$-valued and $\mathcal{H}$-valued square integrable functions on $W_0^1(M)$ respectively. We will define the directional derivative operator $D_h$ as in (1.1) and the gradient operator $D$ as in (1.2) on cylindrical functions and we will show that $D_h$ and $D$ are closable. We will prove integration by parts formulas for them by computing explicitly their adjoint $D_h^*$ and $D^*$ in terms of stochastic integrals. The closability of $D$ implies the same for the associated Dirichlet form

$$\delta(F,F) = \int_{W_0^1(M)} |DF(\gamma)|^2 \nu(d\gamma).$$

(1.3)

As an application of the explicit formula for the adjoint operator $D^*$, we will derive a formula for the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator, namely the self-adjoint operator corresponding to the Dirichlet form (1.3).

For general discussions on stochastic and geometric analysis on path and loop spaces, see Fang and Malliavin [5], Malliavin [10], and Malliavin and Malliavin [11] and the literature cited there. We point out that directional derivatives $D_h$ and their adjoint $D_h^*$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}$ are studied in Driver [2] via approximation of $h$ by a sequence of smooth functions. The closability of the gradient operator and the integration by parts formula were proved in Fang and Malliavin [5] without using the quasi-invariance property of the Wiener measure. The closability of the Dirichlet form (1.3) was proved in Driver and Röckner [4], where the existence of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process on a path space was also proved.

The approach we adopt in the present work is as follows. The Itô map $J: W_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \to W_0^1(M)$ maps a euclidean Brownian motion to a Riemannian Brownian motion on $M$, i.e., $v = \mu \cdot J^{-1}$, where $\mu$ is the Wiener measure on $W_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The image of the vector field $D_h$ under $J^{-1}$, which we denote by $J_{\mu}^{-1}D_h$, can be computed explicitly. The vector field $J_{\mu}^{-1}D_h$ on $W_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be identified with an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued semimartingale denoted by $p_h$. Let $\xi_h^t = J^{-1} \cdot \zeta_h^t \cdot J$. Then $\{\xi_h^t, t \in \mathbb{R}^1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ is the image of the flow $\{\zeta_h, t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ on the
path space $W_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and should be the flow generated by the $p_h$ as a vector field on $W_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$, namely, it satisfies the integral equation

$$
\zeta_h(t, \omega) = \omega + \int_0^t p_h(\zeta_h(s, \omega)) \, ds.
$$

(1.4)

This should be regarded as an equation in the space of semimartingales on the probability space $(W_d(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathscr{F}, \mu)$. We will single out a class of semimartingales (denoted by $SM(h)$ in the paper) so that under a suitably defined norm on this class, (1.4) can be solved by Picard's iteration method.

The existence of the flow generated by $D_h$ and the quasi-invariance of the Wiener measure under the flow can also be proved by Euler's polynomial method and the infinitesimal quasi-invariance of the Wiener measure. This is done in Hsu [1].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we compute $p_h = J^{-1} D_h$, the image of the vector field $D_h$ in $W_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$ under the development map $J$. In Section 3 we prove the existence of the flow $\{\xi_h(t, \omega), t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ generated by $p_h$ and show that the usual euclidean Wiener measure $\mu$ on $W_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is quasi-invariant under this flow. In Section 4, we show how to transfer the euclidean flow to the flow on the path space $W_d(M)$ generated by $D_h$. In Section 5 we discuss the operators $D_h$ and $D_h$ and compute their adjoints, and prove the corresponding integration by parts formulas. In Section 6, we apply the results in Section 5 to give an explicit formula for the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator $L$ on $W_d(M)$ and show that the set of cylindrical functions lies in the domain of definition of $L$.

I thank Professor L. Gross for his careful reading of the manuscript and his suggestions for improvement. Thanks are also due to Professors M. Cranston, B. Driver, P. Malliavin, and M. Röckner for helpful discussions at various stages of the work.

Added in the final version. O. Enchev and D. Stroock have worked out another approach to the problems treated in this paper. Their results will be published in an article now in preparation.

2. A Geometric Computation

The purpose of this section is to motivate the flow equation (3.2) we will solve in the next section. The computations are therefore carried out on smooth paths.

We continue to use the notations introduced in the preceding section. We assume that the compact Riemannian manifold $M$ is equipped with a connection compatible with the Riemannian metric but not necessarily torsion-free. Let $\pi: O(M) \to M$ be the canonical projection. Each frame
$u \in O(M)$ can be regarded as a linear isometry $u: \mathbb{R}^d \to T_{\pi u} M$, the tangent space at $\pi u$. We will use the same letter $\pi$ for the canonical projection from $W_{\alpha}(O(M))$ to $W_{\alpha}(M)$. The $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued 1-form $\theta$ on $O(M)$ defined by

$$\theta(X) = u^{-1} \pi_\ast X$$

is called the canonical 1-form on $O(M)$. The connection we fixed on $M$ gives rise to a decomposition of each tangent space $T_u O(M)$ into the direct sum of a horizontal subspace and a vertical subspace. Let

$$\{ H_i, 1 \leq i \leq d \}$$

be the canonical horizontal vector fields on $O(M)$. By definition, $H_i$ is the unique horizontal vector field such that $\pi_\ast H_i = e_i$, at each $u \in O(M)$, where $e_i$ is the $i$th unit coordinate vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. If $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we set $H h = \sum_{i=1}^{d} H_i h^i$ and the value of the vector field at $u \in O(M)$ is denoted by $H_u h$; in other words, $H_u h$ is the unique horizontal vector field such that $\pi_\ast (H_u h) = u h$. The connection on $M$ also gives rise to an $\alpha(d)$-valued connection form $\omega$ on $O(M)$, where $\alpha(d)$ is the set of $d \times d$ antisymmetric matrices, i.e., the Lie algebra of the Lie group $O(d)$ of $d \times d$ orthogonal matrices. The torsion tensor $\Theta$, defined by the first structure equation (see below), is a $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued 2-form on $O(M)$. The curvature tensor $\Omega$, defined by the second structure equation (see below), is a $\alpha(d)$-valued 2-form on $O(M)$. See Bishop and Crittenden [1] or Kobayashi and Nomizu [9] for differential geometrical details.

Let $\omega \in W^\alpha_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The development $I = I(\omega)$ of $\omega$ in $O(M)$ is a horizontal path in $W^\alpha_{\alpha}(O(M))$ satisfying the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dI}{ds} = H_{I}, \quad \frac{d\omega}{ds}, \quad I_0 = u_\omega.$$ 

The projection $J \omega = \pi I(\omega)$ is a path on $M$. The map

$$J: W^\alpha_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to W^\alpha_{\alpha}(M)$$

is in fact invertible. This can be seen from the following argument. Suppose that $\gamma \in W^\alpha_{\alpha}(M)$, let $U = U(\gamma)$ be the horizontal lift of $\gamma$, i.e.,

$$\frac{dU_s}{ds} = H_{U_s} U_s \quad \frac{d\gamma_s}{ds}, \quad U_0 = u_\gamma.$$ 

It is the unique horizontal path in $O(M)$ starting from $u_\gamma$ such that $\pi U = \gamma$. Then $\omega = J^{-1} \gamma \in W^\alpha_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by the line integral.

$$\omega_s = \int_{U(t,s)} \theta = \int_{0}^{s} \theta(dU_s)$$

and is called the development of $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Note that $J(\omega) = U(\gamma)$, i.e., $I = U \cdot J$ if we use $U: W^\alpha_{\alpha}(M) \to W^\alpha_{\alpha}(O(M))$ to denote the operation of horizontal lift.
Suppose that $\gamma \in W^s_\omega(M)$ and $\omega = J^{-1}\gamma$ is its parallel development in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $h \in W^s_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and consider the vector field on $W^s_\omega(M)$ defined by

$$D_h(\gamma)_s = U(\gamma)_s h_s.$$ 

Let $\{z_{\omega}^t, t \geq 0\}$ be the flow generated by $D_h$, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial(z_{\omega}^t, \gamma)_s}{\partial t} = D_h(z_{\omega}^t, \gamma)_s, \quad z_{\omega}^0 = \gamma. \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly

$$z_{\omega}^t = J^{-1}z_{h\omega}^t J_{\omega}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^1,$$

is a flow on $W^s_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We want to compute $J_{\omega}^{-1}D_h$, the pullback of the vector field $D_h$ to $W^s_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$, namely

$$[J_{\omega}^{-1}D_h(\omega)]_s = \frac{\partial(z_{\omega}^t, \omega)_s}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0}.$$ 

For each $\omega \in W^s_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the above relation defines a vector field along $\omega$, which we identified with an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued function on $[0, 1]$ denoted by $p_h(\omega)$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that $\gamma \in W^s_\omega(M)$ and $h \in W^s_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The pullback $J_{\omega}^{-1}D_h$ of the vector field $D_h$ under the development map $J^{-1} : W^s_\omega(M) \to W^s_\omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given at $\omega = J^{-1}\gamma$ by the following $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued function on $[0, 1]$:

$$p_h(\omega)_s = h_s - \int_0^t \Theta_{U_s}(Hd\omega_s, Hh_s) - \int_0^t K_h(\omega)_s d\omega_s, \quad (2.2)$$

where $U = U(\gamma)$ is the horizontal lift of $\gamma$ in $O(M)$ and

$$K_h(\omega)_s = \int_0^s \Omega_{U_t}(Hd\omega_t, Hh_t).$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of this theorem. We will use the following three facts:

- **Exterior differentiation formula.** If $\phi$ is a 1-form then the exterior differentiation $d\phi$ is a 2-form defined by

$$d\phi(S, T) = S\phi(T) - T\phi(S) - \phi([S, T]),$$

where $[S, T]$ is the Lie bracket of the vector fields $S$ and $T$. 
• **First structural equation.** The differential of the canonical horizontal 1-form $\theta$ is given by

$$d\theta = -\omega \wedge \theta + \Theta,$$

where $\Theta$ is the torsion form.

• **Second structural equation.** The differential of the connection 1-form $\omega$ is given by

$$d\omega = -\omega \wedge \omega + \Omega,$$

where $\Omega$ is the curvature form.

For discussions on these facts see Bishop and Crittenden [1] or Kobayashi and Nomizu [9].

In the following computation we will omit the subscripts $h$. Let $U' = U(\xi')$ be the horizontal lift of $\xi'$ and define

$$S = \frac{\partial U'_s}{\partial s}, \quad T = \frac{\partial U'_t}{\partial t}, \quad N = \frac{\partial (\xi' \omega)}{\partial s}.$$ 

Then we can write

$$p_\delta(\omega)_s \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{\partial N'}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} \right\} dt. \quad (2.3)$$

By $\xi' = J \cdot \xi', J^{-1}$ we see that $U' = I(\xi' \omega)$ is the development of $\xi' \omega$ in $O(M)$, hence $S = HN$, which is equivalent to $N = \theta(S)$. Differentiating with respect to $t$, we have

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = T\theta(S).$$

By the exterior differentiation formula,

$$T\theta(S) = S\theta(T) - \theta([S, T]) - d\theta(S, T).$$

Clearly, $[S, T] = 0$. On the other hand, since $\pi(U') = \xi'$, at $t = 0$ we have $\pi_*(T) = D_h(\gamma) = U(\gamma) h$ by (2.1). This means

the horizontal component of $T = Hh$, or $\theta(T) = h \quad (2.4)$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial N'}{\partial t} \biggr|_{t=0} = \dot{h} - d\theta(S, T). \quad (2.5)$$
We now compute $d\theta(S, T)$ by the first structural equation. We have
\[ \omega(S) = 0 \] because $S$ is horizontal and the connection form $\omega$ is a vertical form. We also have $\theta(S) = N$ as before. Hence the first structural equation gives
\[ d\theta(S, T) = \Theta(S, T) + \omega(T)N. \] (2.6)

We now compute $\omega(T)$. Using $[S, T] = 0$ and $\omega(S) = 0$ we have by the exterior differentiation formula and the second structural equation
\[ Sd\omega(T) = d\omega(S, T) = \Omega(S, T). \]

Integration with respect to $s$, we have
\[ \omega_{U^T}(T) = \int_0^1 \Omega_{U^T}(S, T) \, dt. \] (2.7)

Let $t = 0$ in this relation. We have seen that the horizontal component of $T$ is $Hh$. We also have $S = H\phi_t$ because $U_t = I_t(\omega)$. Hence at $t = 0$ the $\Omega_{U^T}(S, T)$ in (2.7) can be replaced by $\Omega_{U^T}(H\phi_t, Hh_t)$ because $\Omega$ is a horizontal form. Therefore we have
\[ \omega(T) = K_h. \] (2.8)

From (2.5)-(2.8), and the fact that $N = \phi_t$, at $t = 0$ we have
\[ \frac{\partial N^t}{\partial t}
\left. = \phi_t - \Theta(H\phi_t, Hh_t) - K_h(\omega)\phi_t. \right|_{t = 0} \]

Integrating with respect to $s$ and using (2.3) we obtain the theorem.

3. Flows on Euclidean Path Space

In this section, we will work in the probability space $(W_t(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-field on the path space $W_t(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mu$ is the Wiener measure. The canonical filtration of $\sigma$-fields on $W_t(\mathbb{R}^d)$ will be denoted by $\{\mathcal{F}_s, 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$. The coordinate process $\{\omega_s, 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$ is a $\mathcal{F}_s$-adapted Brownian motion.

In Section 2 we have introduced the function $p_h(\omega)$ in Theorem 2.1. We regard $p_h$ as a vector field on the path space $W_t(M)$ whose value at $\omega$ is $p_h(\omega)$.

The purpose of this section is to prove the existence of a flow on $W_t(M)$ generated by the vector field $p_h$ (in a sense to be made precise later) under an antisymmetry assumption on the torsion form (see below) and the assumption that $h \in \mathbb{H}$.
Throughout this section we will fix an element \( h \in \mathcal{H} \), the \( \mathbb{R}^d \)-valued Cameron–Martin space. We use \( SM(h) \) to denote the space of \( \mathbb{R}^d \)-valued and \( \mathcal{H} \)-adapted continuous semimartingales \( z \) of the special form

\[
    z = \int_0^t A_s \, dt + \int_0^t O_s \, d\omega_s, \tag{3.1}
\]

where \( O \) is an \( O(d) \)-valued, \( \mathcal{H} \)-adapted process and \( A \) is a \( \mathbb{R}^d \)-valued, \( \mathcal{H} \)-adapted process such that

\[
    |A_s| \leq K(|h_s| + 1)
\]

for some (nonrandom constant) \( K \). Note that since \( A \) is bounded by a deterministic function in \( L^2([0, 1]) \), the law of \( z \) in \( W_d(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to the Wiener measure \( \mu \) by the usual Cameron–Martin theorem for \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

Recall that we assume that the connection is compatible with the Riemannian metric, but not necessarily torsion-free. From now on, the following assumption introduced by Driver [2] will be in force:

The torsion of the connection is antisymmetric, i.e., for all \( Z \in TM \), the matrix

\[
    \Theta(H, Z) = \{ \Theta(H, Z) \} \in o(d).
\]

We define the semimartingale \( p_h \) on \( (W_d(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{H}, \mu) \) simply by replacing the integrals in (2.2) by Stratonovich stochastic integrals

\[
\begin{align*}
    p_{\theta(t)} &= h_s \left( -\int_0^t \Theta_{\theta(t)}(H_s \, d\omega_s, H\theta_s) - \int_0^t K_{\theta(t)}(\omega_s, H\theta_s) \right) \\
    K_{\theta(t)}(\omega_s, H\theta_s) &= \int_0^t \Omega_{\theta(t)}(H_s \, d\omega_s, H\theta_s).
\end{align*}
\tag{3.2}
\]

where \( U = \theta(t) \) is the stochastic development of \( z \) in \( O(M) \) determined by

\[
    dU_s = H_{\theta_s} \, dt, \quad U_0 = u_\theta.
\tag{3.3}
\]

Since \( p_h \) is defined \( \mu \)-a.s. and the law of a semimartingale \( z \in SM(h) \) is equivalent to \( \mu \), the composition \( p_h \cdot z = p_h(z) \) is a well defined semimartingale.

For the rest of this section, \( h \in \mathcal{H} \) is fixed and we will drop the subscripts \( h \) if doing so causes no confusion.

Let us rewrite \( p(z) \) in the Itô form. Let \( z \) be given by (3.1). After a straightforward computation, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
    p(z) &= h_s - \int_0^t a(z), \, dt - \int_0^t \langle b(z), \, \omega_s \rangle, \\
    a(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Theta_{\theta(s)}(H_s \, d\omega_s, H\theta_s) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}_{\theta(s)}(H\theta_s), \\
    b(z) &= \Theta_{\theta(s)}(H_s \, d\omega_s, H\theta_s) + K(z).
\end{align*}
\tag{3.4}
\]
\( b(z)s = \Theta_{(i)}(H, HH_{i}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} H_{j} \Omega_{(i)}(H_{j}, HH_{i}) \, dt \\
+ \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{(i)}(HA_{i}, dt, HH_{i}) + \int_{0}^{t} \Omega_{(i)}(HO_{i}, d\omega_{i}, HH_{i}). \quad (3.5) \)

Here \( \text{Ric}_{(i)}(\cdot) \) is the Ricci curvature tensor and is regarded as an \( \mathbb{R}^{d} \)-valued horizontal 1-form on \( O(M) \) defined by

\[
\text{Ric}_{(i)}(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Omega^{(i)}(H_{j}, Z).
\]

Note that our basic antisymmetry assumption on the torsion form \( \Theta \) implies that \( b(z) \), is antisymmetric.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that \( h \in \mathcal{H} \). There exists a unique family of semi-martingales \( \{ \xi_{h}^{t}, t \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \} \) such that

(i) \( \xi_{h}^{t} \in SM(h) \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \) and \( \xi_{h}^{0} \omega = \omega \); hence the law of \( \xi_{h}^{t} \) is equivalent to \( \mu \);

(ii) For \( \mu \)-almost all \( \omega \), the function \( t \mapsto \xi_{h}^{t}(\omega) \) is a \( W_{d}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \)-valued continuous function;

(iii) There exists a continuous version of \( \{ p_{h}(\xi_{h}^{t}), t \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \} \) such that \( \mu \)-almost surely, \( \{ \xi_{h}^{t}, t \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \} \) satisfies the equation

\[
\xi_{h}^{t} \omega = \omega + \int_{0}^{t} p_{h}(\xi_{h}^{s} \omega) \, d\lambda. \quad (3.6)
\]

**Proof.** The basic strategy is to solve (3.6) by Picard’s iteration. We divide the proof into several steps.

(a) **An equivalent formulation.** Consider the equations

\[
\begin{align*}
O' &= I - \int_{0}^{t} b(\xi_{h}^{s}) \, O' \, d\lambda, \\
A' &= \hat{H} - \int_{0}^{t} a(\xi_{h}^{s}) \, d\lambda - \int_{0}^{t} b(\xi_{h}^{s}) \, A' \, d\lambda, \\
\xi' &= \int_{0}^{t} A' \, dt + \int_{0}^{t} O' \, d\omega_{i}.
\end{align*} \quad (3.7)
\]

\( I \) is the identity matrix. Suppose that \( \{ \xi_{h}^{t}, t \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \} \) is given by the third equation, then \( \{ A', O', t \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \} \) satisfy the first and second equations, respectively. This fact follows from (3.4) by a simple computation. Note that we can write \( A' \) in terms of \( O' \):

\[
A' = O' \int_{0}^{t} [O']^{-1} \{ \hat{h} - a(x') \} \, d\lambda. \quad (3.8)
\]
Thus it is enough to solve for \( O' \).

(b) **Picard's iteration.** We may assume that \( |t| \leq T \) for some fixed positive \( T \). The letter \( C \) will denote constants whose actual values may vary from one appearance to another.

Let \( A'^0 = 0, O'^0 = I \). Consider the iterative equations

\[
\begin{aligned}
O'^{i,n} &= 1 \left( 1 - \int_0^t b(\xi^{i,n-1}) \right) O^{i,n} d\lambda, \\
A'^{i,n} &= O'^{i,n} \left( \int_0^t \left[ O^{i,n} \right]^{-1} \{ \hat{h} - a(\xi^{i,n-1}) \} \right) d\tau, \\
\xi'^{i,n} &= \int_0^t A'^{i,n} d\tau + \int_0^t O'^{i,n} d\omega, \\
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.9}
\]

We prove that the above iteration process converges in a judiciously chosen norm on \( SM(h) \). If \( \xi \in SM(h) \) is given by

\[
\xi = \int_0^t A_1 \, dt + \int_0^t O_1 d\omega,
\]

we define

\[
\|A\|^2 = E \left( \int_0^1 |A_1|^2 \, ds \right), \\
|O|^2 = E \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |O_1|^2 \right), \\
\langle \xi \rangle^2 = \|A\|^2 + |O|^2.
\]

The norm in which we show the convergence is \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) defined above. We will prove for \( n \geq 2 \) the inequality

\[
\langle \xi^{i,n} - \xi^{i,n-1} \rangle \leq C \int_0^t \langle \xi^{i,n-1} - \xi^{i,n-2} \rangle \, d\lambda.
\tag{3.10}
\]

This together with easily proved inequality

\[
\langle \xi^{1,1} - \xi^0 \rangle \leq Ct
\]

implies that

\[
\langle \xi^{i,n} - \xi^{i,n-1} \rangle \leq \frac{(C t)^n}{n!}.
\]

Therefore the limits

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} A'^{i,n} = A' \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} O'^{i,n} = O'
\]
exist in the $\| \cdot \|$-norm and the $| \cdot |$-norm, respectively, and uniformly for $|t| \leq T$. Letting $n \to \infty$ in (3.9) we see that $\{ A^+, O^+, \xi^+, t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \}$ satisfy (3.7).

We now prove (3.10). We first show that

$$|O^{t,n} - O^{t,n-1}| \leq C \int_a^t \langle \xi^{\xi,n} - \xi^{\xi,n-2} \rangle \, d\lambda. \quad (3.11)$$

Since $b$ is $o(\varepsilon)$-valued, we have $O^{t,n} \in O(\varepsilon)$ and by the first equation in (3.9)

$$\frac{d}{dt}[O^{t,n}]^{-1} O^{t,n} = [O^{t,n}]^{-1} \{ b(\xi^{\xi,n-1}) - b(\xi^{\xi,n-2}) \} O^{t,n}^{-1}.$$  

Integrating with respect to $t$ and using the equality $O_1 - O_2 = O_1(I - O_1^{-1}O_2)$ we obtain

$$|O^{t,n} - O^{t,n-1}| \leq C \int_a^t |b(\xi^{\xi,n-1}) - b(\xi^{\xi,n-2})| \, d\lambda.$$

[I am indebted to Bruce Driver, whose suggestion of using the above inequality greatly simplifies an early version of this proof.] Hence it is sufficient to show that

$$|b(\xi^{\xi,n-1}) - b(\xi^{\xi,n-2})| \leq C\langle \xi^{\xi,n} - \xi^{\xi,n-2} \rangle. \quad (3.12)$$

The expression of $b(\xi^{\xi,n-1})$ in (3.5) has four terms. Correspondingly the difference $b(\xi^{\xi,n-1}) - b(\xi^{\xi,n-2})$ is the sum of four differences $D_1, \ldots, D_4$. It is easily to see that

$$|D_i| \leq C \| U_{\xi,n}^{\xi,n-1} - U_{\xi,n}^{\xi,n-2} \|, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The distance function on $O(M)$ can be understood by embedding $O(M)$ in some euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^L$ for a large integer $L$. From the second equation in (3.9) and the fact that $a$ is uniformly bounded we have

$$\forall n: \| A_{\xi,n} \| \leq K \{ |h|_\varepsilon + 1 \}. $$

Hence for a constant $C_1$ independent of $h$,

$$|D_3| \leq C_1 \{ |h|_\varepsilon + 1 \} |h|_\varepsilon \| U_{\xi,n}^{\xi,n-1} - U_{\xi,n}^{\xi,n-2} \| + C_1 |h|_\varepsilon \| A_{\xi,n}^{\xi,n-1} - A_{\xi,n}^{\xi,n-2} \|.$$  

Using standard $L^2$-estimates for stochastic integrals we have

$$|D_4| \leq C \| U_{\xi,n}^{\xi,n-1} - U_{\xi,n}^{\xi,n-2} \| + C \| O_{\xi,n}^{\xi,n-1} - O_{\xi,n}^{\xi,n-2} \|.$$
Combining the above estimates for \( D_t \) we have
\[
|b(\xi^{i,n} - \xi^{j,n}) - b(\xi^{j,n})| \leq C |U^{j,n} - U^{i,n}| + C \langle \xi^{i,n} - \xi^{j,n} \rangle^2
\]  (3.13)
for a constant \( C \) dependent on \( h \). Here \( U^{j,n} = U^{j,n}(\xi^{i,n}) \) is the solution of the SDE
\[
dU_x = H(U_x) \cdot d\xi^{j,n}, \quad U_0 = u_0.
\]
If we embed \( \Omega(M) \) in some \( \mathbb{R}^L \) and extend \( H \) to vector fields vanishing outside a compact set, then the above equation can be regarded as an SDE on \( \mathbb{R}^L \) with coefficients of compact support. Hence simple estimates on solutions of SDEs gives the estimate
\[
|U^{i,n} - U^{j,n}| \leq C \langle \xi^{i,n} - \xi^{j,n} \rangle^2
\]  (3.14)
This together with (3.13) implies (3.12) and (3.11) is proved.

Next we prove the inequality
\[
\|A^{i,n} - A^{j,n}\| \leq C \int_0^t \langle \xi^{i,n} - \xi^{j,n} \rangle^2 d\lambda.
\]  (3.15)
We use the second equation in (3.9). Using the identity
\[
O^{i,n} - O^{j,n} = O^{i,n} \{ O^{j,n} - O^{i,n} \} O^{j,n}
\] and the fact that \( a, O^{i,n}, \) and \( [O^{i,n}]^{-1} \) are uniformly bounded we have
\[
\|A^{i,n} - A^{j,n}\| \leq C \int_0^t \| a(\xi^{i,n}) - a(\xi^{j,n}) \| d\lambda
+ C \{ |h|_{i+1} + 1 \} |O^{i,n} - O^{j,n}|^2
+ C \{ |h|_{i+1} \} \int_0^t |O^{i,n} - O^{j,n}|^2 d\lambda.
\]  (3.16)
From the second equation in (3.4) and (3.14) it is clear that
\[
|a(\xi^{i,n}) - a(\xi^{j,n})| \leq C |U^{i,n} - U^{j,n}| \leq C \langle \xi^{i,n} - \xi^{j,n} \rangle^2
\]  (3.17)
Now (3.15) follows from (3.11), (3.14), (3.16), and (3.17).

(c) \textit{End of the proof}. So far we have shown that there exists a family of measurable random variables \( \{ A^i, O^i, \xi^i, i \in \mathbb{R}^L \} \) such that (3.7) is satisfied a.s. for each fixed \( t \). From the first equation in (3.7) we have \( O^i \)
is $O(d)$-valued; from the second equation there $|A'| \leq K[|\hat{h}| + 1]$ for some $K$. Hence $\xi' \in SM(h)$, which proves (i). We see from (3.7) (using Kolmogorov’s criterion, for example) that there exists a continuous version of $\{A', O', \xi', t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$, which proves (ii). Finally from (3.4), $\{p(\xi'), t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ has a continuous version. From (3.7) and (3.4) we see that (3.6) holds for each fixed $t$. It therefore holds for all $t$ by continuity. This proves (iii).

**Remark 3.2.** It can be shown that $\{\xi', t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ has a smooth version.

For each fixed $t$, the random variable $\xi'_h : W_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^d) \to W_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be regarded as a $\mu$-almost surely defined map from the path space to itself. In Proposition 3.4 below we will prove the $\{\xi', t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ has the group property. Thus we can regard $\{\xi'_h, t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ as the flow on $W_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^d)$ generated by $p_h = J^{-1}_{\varphi}D_h$. If $z \in SM(h)$, then the law of $z$ is equivalent to $\mu$, hence the composition $\xi'_h \circ z = \xi'_{\mu}(z)$ is well defined. Furthermore if

$$z = \int_0^t A_\tau d\tau + \int_0^t O_\tau d\omega_\tau,$$

then

$$\xi'(z)_h = \int_0^t \{A'_\tau(z) + O'_\tau(z) A_\tau\} d\tau + \int_0^t O'_\tau(z) O_\tau d\omega_\tau,$$

which implies that $\xi' \circ z \in SM(h)$. Thus the space $SM(h)$ is invariant under $\xi'_h$.

Because $\xi'_h \circ z \in SM(h)$, its law is equivalent to $\mu$. Hence we may replace the $\omega$ in (3.6) by $z(\omega)$. The resulting equation shows that $x' = \xi'_h \circ z$ is the solution of the integral equation

$$x' = z + \int_0^t p(x') d\lambda. \quad (3.18)$$

We prove a uniqueness result for the above equation.

**Proposition 3.3.** The solution to (3.18) in the class $SM(h)$ is unique.

**Proof.** Let $x'^i, i = 1, 2$, be two solutions in $SM(h)$. Then we have as in the proof of Theorem 3.1,

$$\begin{align*}
O'^i = O - \int_0^t b(x^{i,\lambda}) O^{i,\lambda} d\lambda, \\
A'^i = A + \dot{h}t - \int_0^t a(x^{i,\lambda}) d\lambda - \int_0^t b(x^{i,\lambda}) A^{i,\lambda} d\lambda, \\
x'^i = \int_0^t A'^i_\tau d\tau + \int_0^t O'^i_\tau d\omega_\tau.
\end{align*} \quad (3.19)$$

We then equate the two solutions and use the fact that $O'$ and $A'$ are deterministic to show that the differences are zero. This proves uniqueness.
Following the proof of 3.1 we can show from the above relations that there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\langle x_t^{(1)} - x_t^{(2)} \rangle \leq C \int_0^t \left\langle x_{\lambda}^{(1)} - x_{\lambda}^{(2)} \right\rangle d\lambda,
$$

which implies $x_t^{(1)} = x_t^{(2)}$ by Gronwall's lemma.

**Proposition 3.4.** Suppose that $h \in \mathbb{H}$ and \{\$x_t^h$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$\} is the unique flow on $W_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ generated by $p_h$. Then $\mu$-almost surely,

$$
\xi_h^{t_1} \cdot \xi_h^{t_2} = \xi_h^{t_1 + t_2}, \quad \text{for all } (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+.
$$

**Proof.** The composition $\xi_h^{t_1} \cdot \xi_h^{t_2}$ makes sense because the law of $\xi^t$ is equivalent to $\mu$ for all $t$. It can be shown (by Kolmogorov's criterion, for example) that

$$
\{\xi_h^{t_1}, \xi_h^{t_2}, (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+\}
$$

has a continuous version. Now both \{\$x_t^{(1)}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$\} and \{\$x_t^{(1)+t_2}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$\} are solutions of (3.18) with initial value $\xi_h^{t_1}$. Therefore by the uniqueness (Proposition 3.3), for each fixed $t_2$, we have $\mu$-a.s., $\xi_h^{t_1} = \xi_h^{t_1 + t_2}$ for all $t_1$. Therefore $\mu$-a.s., it holds for all $t_1$ and all rational $t_2$. Since both sides are continuous in $(t_1, t_2)$, the equality automatically holds for all $t_1$ and $t_2$.

Let $\mu_h^*$ be the law of $\xi_h^t$. We give an explicit formula for the Radon-Nikodym derivative $d\mu_h^*/d\mu$.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $h \in \mathbb{H}$ and \{\$x_t^h$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$\} the flow on $W_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ generated by $p_h = J^{-1}_h d_h$. Let \{\$A_t^h$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$\} be the solution of the equation

$$
A_t = h - \int_0^t a(\xi_h^s) \, ds - \int_0^t b(\xi_h^s) A_s \, ds.
$$

Then the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the law $\mu_h^*$ of $\xi_h^t$ with respect to the Wiener measure $\mu$ is given by

$$
d\mu_h^*/d\mu = \exp \left[ - \int_0^t A_t^h(\xi_0^{-1} \omega)^* \, d\omega - \int_0^t |A_t^h(\xi_0^{-1} \omega)|^2 \, ds \right].
$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.1 $\xi^t$ is given by

$$
\xi_t = \int_0^t A_t^* \, d\tau + \int_0^t O_t^* \, d\omega_t,
$$

(3.22)
Define the exponential martingale

\[ e_t(\omega) = \exp \left[ -\int_0^t (A_t^*)^* \mathcal{O}_t^\prime \omega d\tau - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |A_t^\prime|^2 d\tau \right]. \]  

(3.23)

We have \( E e_t = 1. \) Define a new probability measure \( \mu \) on \( W_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \) by

\[ \frac{d\mu'}{d\mu} = e_t. \]

By Girsanov's theorem, \( \xi't \) is a Brownian motion under the measure \( \mu. \) Hence for any measurable set \( C \subset W_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d), \)

\[ \mu[\omega \in C] = \mu[\xi't \omega \in C] \]

\[ = \mu[ e_t(\omega); \xi't \omega \in C] \]

\[ = \mu'[\xi't \omega; \omega \in C]. \]

This implies immediately that \( \mu' \) is equivalent to \( \mu \) and

\[ \frac{d\mu'}{d\mu}(\omega) = \frac{1}{e_t(\xi't \omega)}. \]  

(3.24)

Now using (3.22) (with \( t \) replaced by \( -t \)) and (3.23) we have

\[ \log e_t(\xi't \omega) = -\int_0^t A_t^\prime(\xi't \omega)^* \mathcal{O}_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) \mathcal{O}_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) d\tau, \]

\[ -\int_0^t A_t^\prime(\xi't \omega)^* \mathcal{O}_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) A_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) d\sigma, \]

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |A_t^\prime(\xi't \omega)|^2 d\tau \]  

(3.25)

Replacing \( \omega \) in (3.22) by \( \xi't \omega \) and using \( \xi't \xi't \omega = \omega \) (from Proposition 3.4) we have

\[ \omega_t = \left[ A_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) + \mathcal{O}_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) A_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) \right] d\tau + \int_0^t \mathcal{O}_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) \mathcal{O}_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) d\tau. \]

By the uniqueness of the Doob-Meyer decomposition we have

\[ A_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) + \mathcal{O}_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) A_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) = 0, \quad A_t^\prime(\xi't \omega) A_t(\xi't \omega)^* = 0. \]

Using these two identities in (3.25) we obtain immediately (3.21) from (3.24).
4. Flows on Riemannian Path Space

The purpose of this section is to transfer the flow \( \{ \xi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} \) on \( W_o(\mathbb{R}^d) \) constructed in the last section to a flow on the path space \( W_o(M) \) by using the Itô map \( J: W_o(\mathbb{R}^d) \to W_o(M) \).

On the probability space \((W_o(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{B}, \mu)\) consider the following SDE for a process \( I = I(\omega) \) on \( O(M) \):

\[
dI_t = H_{I_t} \cdot d\omega_t, \quad I_0 = u_0.
\]

By the pathwise uniqueness for this SDE, the solution gives a progressively measurable map \( I: W_o(\mathbb{R}^d) \to W_o(O(M)) \) defined \( \mu \)-a.s. Thus if \( z \) is an \( \mathbb{R}^d \)-valued continuous semimartingale whose law is absolutely continuous with respect \( \mu \), then the composition \( I \cdot z \) is a well defined, \( O(M) \)-valued semimartingale and is the unique solution of the SDE with the driving process \( \omega \) replaced by \( z \). This holds in particular if \( z \in SM(h) \).

Let \( J = \pi \circ I \), where \( \pi: W(O(M)) \to W(M) \) is the canonical projection. Then the Itô map \( J: W_o(\mathbb{R}^d) \to W_o(M) \) is a progressively measurable map defined \( \mu \)-a.s. As a \( W_o(M) \)-valued random variable, \( J \) is a Riemannian Brownian motion on \( M \), whose law on \( W_o(M) \) is the Wiener measure \( \nu \) on \( W_o(M) \).

We now define an inverse of \( J \). We will work in the probability space \((W_o(M), \mathcal{F}, \nu)\), where \( \mathcal{F} \) is the Borel \( \sigma \)-field on \( W_o(M) \) and \( \nu \) is the Wiener measure on \( W_o(M) \). Let \( \gamma \) the coordinate process on \( W_o(M) \). The horizontal lift \( U = U(\gamma) \) of the Riemannian Brownian motion \( \{ \gamma_s, 0 \leq s \leq 1 \} \) is the solution of the SDE

\[
dU_t = H_{U_t} U_t^{-1} \cdot d\gamma_t, \quad U_0 = u_0.
\]

Let \( \theta \) be the canonical 1-form on \( O(M) \). The stochastic line integral

\[
L(\gamma)_s = \int_{U[0,s]} \theta = \int_0^s \theta \cdot dU_z
\]

is called the stochastic parallel development of \( \gamma \) and as a \( W_o(\mathbb{R}^d) \)-valued random variable the law of \( L \) is the Wiener measure \( \mu \). We therefore have a progressively measurable map \( L: W_o(M) \to W_o(\mathbb{R}^d) \) defined \( \nu \)-a.s.

From the above discussion, we see that the compositions \( L \circ J: W_o(\mathbb{R}^d) \to W_o(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( J \circ L: W_o(M) \to W_o(M) \) are well defined \( \mu \)-a.s. and \( \nu \)-a.s., respectively. The map \( L \) is the inverse map of the map \( J \) in the sense that \( L \circ J(\omega) = \omega \), \( \mu \)-a.s. and \( J \circ L(\gamma) = \gamma \), \( \nu \)-a.s. For this reason we denote \( L \) by \( J^{-1} \).
From now on we work in the probability space \((W_{\mathcal{A}}(M), \mathcal{F}, \nu)\). Define the flow \(\zeta^t_h: W_{\mathcal{A}}(M) \to W_{\mathcal{A}}(M)\) by
\[
\zeta^t_h = J \cdot \zeta^t_h \cdot J^{-1}.
\] (4.1)
The composition is well defined \(\nu\)-a.s. and \(\zeta^t_h\) is an \(M\)-valued semimartingale. The problem remains to show that there is a nice version of \(\{\zeta^t_h, t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}\) which is the flow generated by \(D_h\).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \(h \in H\). There is a family of measurable maps \((W_{\mathcal{A}}(M)\)-valued random variables) \(\zeta^t_h: W_{\mathcal{A}}(M) \to W_{\mathcal{A}}(M), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^1\)
with the following properties:

(i) For each fixed \(t \in \mathbb{R}^1\), the law \(\nu_h^t\) of \(\zeta^t_h\) is equivalent to the Wiener measure \(\nu\) (quasi-invariance of the Wiener measure) and the Radon–Nikodym derivative is given by
\[
\frac{d\nu^t_h}{d\nu}(\gamma) = \frac{d\mu^t_h}{d\mu}(J^{-1}\gamma),
\] (4.2)
where \(J^{-1}: W_{\mathcal{A}}(M) \to W_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d)\) is the inverse Itô map and \(d\mu^t_h/d\mu\) is given by (3.21);

(ii) \(\nu\)-almost surely, the function \(t \mapsto \zeta^t_h\gamma\) is a \(W_{\mathcal{A}}(M)\)-valued continuously differentiable function;

(iii) There is a continuous version of \(t \mapsto U(h_0, \gamma), \ h = D_h(\zeta^t_h\gamma)\) such that \(\nu\)-almost surely, \(\zeta^t_h\gamma\) satisfies the differential equation
\[
\frac{d\zeta^t_h\gamma}{dt} = D_h(\zeta^t_h\gamma),
\] (4.3)

(iv) \(\nu\)-almost surely,
\[
\zeta^t_h \cdot \zeta^{t_1}_h = \zeta^{t_1 + t_2}_h \quad \text{for all} \quad (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1.
\]

**Proof.** Define a new probability measure \(\eta\) on \(W_{\mathcal{A}}(M)\) by
\[
\frac{d\eta}{d\nu}(\gamma) = \epsilon_1(J^{-1}\gamma),
\]
where \(\epsilon_1\) is defined on \(W_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d)\) by (3.23). Then by Girsanov’s theorem, the law of \(\zeta^t \cdot J^{-1}\) under \(\eta\) is the Wiener measure \(\mu\). Therefore the law of
\( \zeta' = J \cdot \zeta'^t \) \( J \) under \( \eta \) is the measure \( \nu \). Now for any measurable set \( C \subset W_d(M) \),

\[
\nu[\gamma \in C] = \eta[\zeta' \gamma \in C] = \nu[\epsilon(J^{-1} \gamma); \zeta' \gamma \in C] = \nu'[\epsilon(J^{-1} \zeta^{-1} \gamma); \gamma \in C].
\]

This implies immediately \( \nu' \) is equivalent to \( \nu \), and by (3.24)

\[
\frac{d\nu'}{d\nu}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\epsilon(J^{-1} \zeta^{-1} \gamma)} = \frac{d\mu'}{d\mu}(J^{-1} \gamma).
\]

This proves (i).

From \( J = \pi \cdot I \) and the SDE for \( J \) : \( W_d(\mathbb{R}^d) \to W_d(O(M)) \) it is not difficult to prove that for \( \xi^1, \xi^2 \in \text{SM}(h) \),

\[
|J \cdot \xi^1 - J \cdot \xi^2| \leq C \langle \xi^1 - \xi^2 \rangle.
\]

From (3.6) and (3.4) we have the estimate

\[
\langle \xi^0 - \xi^c \rangle \leq C |t_1 - t_2|.
\]

It follows that

\[
|\xi^0 - \xi^c| = |J \cdot \xi^0 \cdot J^{-1} - J \cdot \xi^c \cdot J^{-1}|
\]

\[
= |J \cdot \xi^0 - J \cdot \xi^c|
\]

\[
\leq C \langle \xi^0 - \xi^c \rangle
\]

\[
\leq C |t_1 - t_2|,
\]

from which we conclude that \( \{ \xi^t, t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} \) has a continuous version. Using the same argument and the fact that \( \{ \xi^t, t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} \) has a continuously differentiable version, we can show that \( \{ \xi^t, t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} \) has a continuously differentiable version. This proves (ii).

To prove (iii), we first show that \( \{ U(\xi^t), t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} \) has a continuous version. From \( U(\xi^t) = I(\xi^t) \) we have

\[
|U(\xi^0) - U(\xi^c)| \leq C \langle \xi^0 - \xi^c \rangle \leq C |t_1 - t_2|.
\]

See the proof of (3.14). It follows that \( \{ U(\xi^t), t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} \) has a continuous version. Differentiating the equation

\[
dU^t = H \epsilon(U^t)^{-1} \cdot d\xi^t
\]
with respect to \( t \), we obtain a linear SDE for \( dU'/dt \). Hence it is not difficult to show as before that \( \{dU/\zeta', \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} \) exists and has a continuous version.

Let

\[
\vec{h} = \theta \left[ \frac{dU/\zeta'}{dt} \right].
\]

\( \vec{h} \) is an \( \mathbb{R}^d \)-valued semimartingale. Since \( \zeta' = \pi(U') \), the assertion in (iii) is equivalent to \( \vec{h} = h \). To prove this we have to essentially repeat the computation in Section 2 with stochastic calculus. Let \( T = \partial U'/\partial t \) as before. Using the exterior differentiation formula, we have

\[
d\vec{h}_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta(\cdot, U'_t) + d\theta(\cdot, U'_t, T).
\]

Let \( x' = \vec{h}'J^{-1} \). We have \( U' = \mathcal{R}(x') \). Hence by the SDE for \( \mathcal{R}(x') \) we have we \( \theta(\cdot, U'_t) = dx'_t \), whose derivative with respect to \( t \) is \( dp(x')_t \). We use the first structural equation on the second term on the right-hand side and obtain

\[
d\vec{h}_t = dp\theta(x'), \quad \Theta(\cdot, U'_t, T) + \omega(T) \cdot \theta(\cdot, U'_t).
\]

We have \( dU'_t = H_d U'_t, \quad dx'_t \) by the SDE for \( U' \). By the definition of \( \vec{h}_t \), the horizontal component of \( T \) is just \( \vec{h}_t \). Thus the second term on the right-hand side can be written as \( \Theta(\mathcal{R}_t, dx'_t, H_{\vec{h}_t}) \). Using the second structural equation on the third term on the right-hand side we have

\[
\omega(T) = \int_0^T \Omega_u(T) \, dx'_t = \int_0^T \Omega_u(T) \, dx'_t, \quad H_{\vec{h}_t}.
\]

It follows that

\[
d\vec{h}_t = dp\theta(x'), \quad \Theta(H, dx_t, \vec{h}_t) + \left\{ \int_0^t \Omega_u(T) \, dx'_t, \quad H_{\vec{h}_t} \right\} \cdot dx'_t.
\]

From the above equation and the definition of \( p_h \) in (3.2), we see that the above equation is just \( dp_h(x'), = dp_T(x'), \) or equivalently \( p_h(x') = p_T(x') \).

Because the law of \( x' \) is equivalent to the Wiener measure \( \mu \) and because \( p_h \) is linear in \( h \), we conclude that \( \mu \)-a.s., \( p_h = 0 \). We have to show from this that \( \vec{h} = h \).

Let \( \phi = h - \vec{h} \). Using simple \( L^2 \)-estimates on stochastic integrals, we see from (3.4) that there exists a constant \( C \) such that

\[
E \left[ (p_h)_t - \phi_t \right]^2 \leq C \int_0^t E \left[ \phi_s \right]^2 \, ds
\]
Since $p_s = 0$, we can write

$$E |\phi_s|^2 \leq C \int_0^\infty E |\phi_t|^2 \, dt.$$  

from which we have immediately $\phi = 0$. This completes the proof of (iii).

Part (iv) follows from Proposition 3.4. 

5. GRADIENT OPERATOR AND INTEGRATION BY PARTS

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a Hilbert space. An $\mathcal{E}$-valued function $F$ on $W_s(M)$ is called cylindrical if there is a positive integer $n$, a set of $n$ points $0 \leq s_1 < \cdots < s_n \leq 1$ and a smooth function $\bar{F} : M \times \cdots \times M \to \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$F(\gamma) = \bar{F}(\gamma_{s_1}, \ldots, \gamma_{s_n}).$$  

(5.1)

The set of $\mathcal{E}$-valued cylindrical functions on $W_s(M)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E})$. Typically $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ (with the usual Hilbert–Schmidt norm). We denote $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ simply by $\mathcal{C}$.

We will use $L^2(\mathcal{E}; \nu)$ to denote the Hilbert space of $\mathcal{E}$-valued measurable functions $F$ on $W_s(M)$ such that

$$\|F\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}; \nu)}^2 = \int_{W_s(M)} |\bar{F}(\gamma)|^2 \, \nu(d\gamma) \leq \infty.$$  

The inner product on $L^2(\mathcal{E}; \nu)$ is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\mathcal{E}; \nu)}$. We write $L^2(\nu)$ instead of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \nu)$ and the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \nu)}$ is simply written as $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E})$. It is natural to define the directional derivative

$$D_h F = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{F \circ \gamma_t^{-1} - F}{t}.$$  

The limit takes place in $L^2(\mathcal{E}; \nu)$. If $F$ is given by (5.1), then by Theorem 4.1,

$$D_h F(\gamma) = \sum_{\rho = 1}^n \langle \nabla^{(\rho)} \bar{F}(\gamma) \circ \gamma_t, U(\gamma_t)_{\nu'} h_{\rho} \rangle,$$  

(5.2)

where $\nabla^{(\rho)} \bar{F}$ denotes the gradient of $\bar{F}$ with respect to the $p$th variable. There exists an element $DF \in L^2(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}; \nu)$ such that for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle DF, h \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = D_h F.$$
$DF$ is called the gradient of $F$ and is given by

$$DF(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{p-1}^{n} (s \wedge s_p) e^i \otimes \langle \nabla^\gamma e^i \rangle, U(\gamma)_\gamma e^i. \quad (5.3)$$

We want to show that $D_h$ and $D$ defined on $\mathcal{C}$ as above are closable and to describe their adjoints $D_h^*$ and $D^*$.

For an $h \in \mathcal{H}$, define a martingale

$$l_h(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \langle \dot{h}_s, -A(\omega)_s, d\omega_s \rangle$$

$$= \int_0^1 \langle \dot{h}_s - \frac{1}{2} H_s \Theta_U(\dot{H}_s, H_h_s) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}_U(H_h_s), d\omega_s \rangle, \quad (5.4)$$

where $\omega = J^{-1}\gamma$ and $U = U(\gamma)$ is the horizontal lift of $\gamma$ to $O(M)$. The following theorem gives the formal adjoint of $D_h$ on $\mathcal{C}$.

**Theorem 5.1 (Integration by Parts Formula).** Let $F, G$ be two cylindrical functions. Then

$$(D_h F, G) = (F, D_h^* G), \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$D_h^* = -D_h + l_h. \quad (5.6)$$

**Proof.** Since $F \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} F \cdot \xi_h \bigg|_{t=0} = D_h F.$$

Hence we can write

$$(D_h F, G) = \frac{d}{dt} (F \cdot h^t, G). \quad (5.7)$$

The derivative is evaluated at $t = 0$. We have $\xi_h^t \cdot \xi_h^t = \gamma$. The law $\nu^t$ of $\xi_h^t$ being equivalent to $\nu$ by Theorem 4.1 we have by the change of variables $\xi_h^t \gamma \mapsto \gamma$,

$$(F \cdot \xi_h^t, G) = \langle F, G \cdot \xi_h^t \rangle_{\xi_h^t} = \left( F, G \cdot \xi_h^t \right) \left\{ \frac{dv^t}{dv} \right\}. \quad (5.8)$$
The Radon–Nikodym derivative is given by (4.2) and (3.21). From (3.20) we find that at \( t = 0 \)

\[
\frac{dA'(\omega)}{dt}(\omega) = \dot{h} - a(\omega).
\]

Hence from (3.21) and (4.2),

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{dv'_h(\gamma)}{dv}(\gamma) \right\} = \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{d\mu'}{d\mu}(\omega) \right\} = \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{dA'(\omega)}{dt}(\omega) \right\}^* d\omega = I_h(\omega).
\]

It follows that in \( L^2(\nu) \),

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{G(\gamma)}{dv}(\gamma) \right\} = -D_h G(\gamma) + I_h(\gamma) G(\gamma) = D_h^* G(\gamma).
\]

From (5.7), (5.8), and the above identity we have immediately the integration by parts formula (5.5).

Having computed the formal adjoint \( D_h^* \) on cylindrical functions, we can extend the derivative operator and the gradient operator by the usual method in functional analysis. We will use \( \text{Dom}(A) \) to denote the domain of a linear operator \( A \). Let \( L^{2+}(\nu) = \bigcup_{p>2} L^p(\nu) \).

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( h \in \mathcal{H} \). The directional derivative operator \( D_h: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L^2(\nu) \) is closable in \( L^2(\nu) \). Denote its closure by \( D_h \) again. Let \( D_h^* \) be its adjoint. Then

\[
\text{Dom}(D_h) \cap L^{2+}(\nu) \subset \text{Dom}(D_h^*)
\]

and for all \( G \in \text{Dom}(D_h) \cap L^{2+}(\nu) \) we have

\[
D_h^* G = -D_h G + I_h G.
\]

**Proof.** By definition we have \( \text{Dom}(D_h) \supseteq \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{C} \) is dense in \( L^2(\nu) \), the operator \( D_h \) is densely defined. The closability of \( D_h \) follows from the existence of a formal adjoint \( D_h^* \) on \( \mathcal{C} \).

If \( h \in \mathcal{H} \), then \( I_h \) is a continuous martingale with uniformly bounded quadratic variation. Hence \( I_h \in L^q(\nu) \) for all \( q > 0 \) by moment estimates for continuous martingales (see Ikeda and Watanabe [8], 110–113.) Suppose that \( G \in \text{Dom}(D_h) \cap L^p(\nu) \) for some \( p > 2 \). To show that \( G \in \text{Dom}(D_h^*) \) it is enough to show that there is a \( D_h^* G \in L^2(\nu) \) such that

\[
(D_h F, G) = (F, D_h^* G)
\]
for all $F \in \mathcal{C}$. From the closability of $D_h$ there is a sequence $\{G_n\}$ of cylindrical functions such that

$$G_n \to G \quad \text{and} \quad D_h G_n \to D_h G$$

in $L^2(\nu)$. We have

$$D_h F, G_n = -(F, D_h G_n) + (F, l_h G_n). \quad (5.9)$$

We want to let $n \to \infty$ in the above relation, but we do not know if $l_h G_n$ converges to $l_h G$ in $L^2(\nu)$. We overcome this difficulty by a truncation argument. Let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^1$ be a bounded smooth function with bounded first derivative $\phi'$. Then $\phi(G_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ and we have $D_h \phi(G_n) = \phi'(G_n) D_h \phi(G_n)$.

Now we write down (5.9) with $G_n$ replaced by $\phi(G_n)$ and obtain

$$(D_h F, \phi(G_n)) = -(F, \phi'(G_n) D_h \phi(G_n)) + (F, l_h \phi(G_n)).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ we have

$$(D_h F, \phi(G)) = -(F, \phi'(G) D_h \phi(G)) + (F, l_h \phi(G)). \quad (5.10)$$

Now let $\phi$ go through a sequence of functions $\{\phi_N\}$ such that (i) $\phi_N(t) = t$ for $|t| \leq N$; (ii) $|\phi_N(t)| \leq 2 |t|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$; (iii) $|\phi_N'(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$.

Recall that $G \in L^2(\nu)$ for some $p > 2$. Choose $q$ such that $1/p + 1/q = 1/2$.

We have as $N \to \infty$,

$$\|l_h \phi_N(G) - l_h G\|_{L^p(\nu)} \leq \|l_h\|_{L^q(\nu)} \|\phi_N(G) - G\|_{L^p(\nu)} \to 0. \quad (5.11)$$

In the last step we have used the dominated convergence theorem, which is permissible because $\phi_N(G) \to G$ and $|\phi_N(G) - G| \leq 3 |G| \in L^p(\nu)$ by the choice of $\phi_N$. Now replace $\phi$ by $\phi_N$ in (5.10) and let $N \to \infty$. Using (5.11) and the fact that $\phi_N'(G)$ is bounded by 1 and converges to 1 we have

$$(D_h F, G) = -(F, D_h G) + (F, l_h G).$$

This shows immediately that $G \in \mathrm{Dom}(D_h^*)$ and $D_h^* G = -D_h + l_h G$.

From now on we fix an orthonormal basis $\{h^*_x\}$ for the Cameron–Martin space $\mathcal{H}$. The orthonormal basis satisfies the following relation:

$$\sum_x h^*_x \cdot h^*_x = (s_1 \wedge s_2) \delta^q. \quad (5.12)$$
From (5.2), (5.3), and (5.12) we have for any \( F \in \mathcal{C}(E) \),

\[ DF = h^*D_hF. \]

**Proposition 5.3.** The following assertions hold.

1. The gradient operator \( D : \mathcal{C}(E) \to L^2(E \otimes H; \nu) \) is closable on \( L^2(E; \nu) \). Denote its closure by \( D \) again.
2. \( \text{Dom}(D) \subset \text{Dom}(D_h) \) for all \( h \in H \); if \( F \in \text{Dom}(D) \) and \( h \in H \), then \( D_hF = \langle DF, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \).
3. If \( F \in \text{Dom}(D) \) then

\[ \sum_y \| D_hF \|_{L^2(E \otimes H; \nu)}^2 < \infty, \]

and \( DF = h^*D_hF \); the convergence takes place \( \nu \)-almost surely as well as in \( L^2(E \otimes H; \nu) \).

**Proof.** The proof for a general \( E \) having no particular difficulty, we assume for simplicity that \( E = \mathbb{R}^1 \).

1. Let \( \mathcal{C}_0(H) \) be the cylindrical functions of the form \( G = \sum_{i=1}^N h^iG_i \), with \( G_i \in \mathcal{C} \). We have

\[ (DF, G)_{L^2(E \otimes H; \nu)} = (D_hF, G) = (F, D_h^*G), \quad (5.13) \]

The above equality shows that \( D \) has a formal adjoint on \( \mathcal{C}_0(H) \) and is given by

\[ D^*G = D_h^*G. \]

Note that because \( G \in \mathcal{C}_0(H) \), the sum is actually finite. The existence of a formal adjoint for \( D \) on \( \mathcal{C}_0(H) \), which is dense in \( L^2(H; \nu) \), shows that \( D \) is closable as an operator from \( L^2(\nu) \) to \( L^2(H; \nu) \).

2. Suppose that \( F \in \text{Dom}(D) \). Then there exists a sequence of cylindrical functions \( \{ F_n \} \) such that \( F_n \to F \) in \( L^2(\nu) \) and \( DF_n \to DF \) in \( L^2(H; \nu) \). Let \( h \in H \). We have

\[ |D_h(F_n - F_m)| = |\langle D(F_n - F_m), h \rangle| \leq |D(F_n - F_m)|_H \cdot |h|_H. \]

Thus the sequence \( D_hF_n \) converges in \( L^2(\nu) \). It follows from the closedness of \( D_h \) that \( F \in \text{Dom}(D_h) \) and \( \overline{D_hF_n} = D_hF \). From \( DF_n = \langle DF_n, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \), we have \( D_h = \langle DF, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \).
(iii) Suppose that \( F \in \text{Dom}(D) \). Then for \( \nu \)-almost all \( \gamma \) we have \( DF(\gamma) \in \mathcal{H} \). By the orthogonal expansion in the basis \( \{h^\alpha\} \) and (ii) we have \( \nu \)-almost surely
\[
DF(\gamma) = h^\alpha \langle DF(\gamma), h^\alpha \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = h^\alpha D_{h^\alpha}F(\gamma).
\]
Taking \( |\cdot|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \) on both sides and integrating, we have
\[
\sum_x \|D_{h^\alpha}F\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}, \nu)}^2 = \|DF\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}, \nu)}^2 < \infty.
\]
This also shows that the series for \( DF \) converges in \( L^2(\mathcal{H}; \nu) \).

We define a symmetric quadratic form on \( \mathcal{H} \) as follows:
\[
\delta(F, F) = \int_{W_M} |DF(\gamma)|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \nu(d\gamma).
\]

Proposition 5.3 gives immediately the following result (see Fukushima \[6\] or Ma and Röckner \[12\] for the definition of closed symmetric quadratic forms).

**Proposition 5.4.** The symmetric quadratic form \( \delta \) on \( L^2(\nu) \) is closed. It is a Dirichlet form with \( \text{Dom}(\delta) = \text{Dom}(D) \) and \( \delta \) is dense in \( \text{Dom}(\delta) \).

We now give a formula for the adjoint operator
\[
D^*: L^2(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{E}; \nu) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{E}; \nu).
\]
For simplicity we will assume that \( \mathcal{E} = \mathbb{R}^1 \). If \( F \in C(\mathcal{H}) \), then \( D_{h^\alpha}F \) and \( DF \) are well defined and
\[
DF = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} h^\alpha \otimes h^\beta D_{h^\beta}\langle F, h^\alpha \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.
\]
The convergence takes place \( \nu \)-almost surely as well as in \( L^2(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}; \nu) \).

**Definition 5.1.** We say that an element \( K \in L^2(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}; \nu) \) has \( L^2 \)-trace if the series
\[
\text{Trace } K = \sum_x \langle K, h^\alpha \otimes h^\alpha \rangle_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}} \quad (5.14)
\]
converges in \( L^2(\nu) \).
If $G \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{H})$, then it is easy to verify that $DG$ has $L^2$-trace and

\[ \text{Trace } DG = \sum_x \langle D_{\mu^x} G, h^x \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}. \]

In fact in this case (5.14) is a finite sum.

Define a martingale

\[ A(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( H_x \Theta_{U_t} (H_x, H), d\varphi \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( \text{Ric}_{U_t} (H), d\varphi \right), \tag{5.15} \]

where $\varphi = J^{-1} \gamma$ and $U = U(\gamma)$, the horizontal lift of $\gamma$.

Recall that $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{H})$ is the set of $\mathbb{H}$-valued cylindrical functions of the form $G = \sum_{x=1}^N h^x G_x$, with $G_x \in \mathcal{F}$. We have the following integration by parts formula.

**Lemma 5.5.** If $F \in \text{Dom}(D)$ and $G \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{H})$, then

\[ \langle DF, G \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{H}; \mathcal{F})} = (F, D^* G), \tag{5.16} \]

where $D^* G$ is given by

\[ D^* G = -\text{Trace } DG + \int_0^t \left( \dot{G}_x, d\varphi \right) - \int_0^t \left( G_x, dA \right). \]

**Proof.** Let $G \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{H})$ has the form $G = \sum_{x=1}^N h^x G_x$, where $G_x \in \mathcal{F}$. We have from (5.13) that (5.16) holds with

\[ D^* G = D_{h^x}^*, G_x = -D_{h^x} G_x + l_{h^x} G_x = -\text{Trace } DG + l_{h^x} G_x. \]

Thus it is enough to show that

\[ l_{h^x} G_x = \int_0^t \left( \dot{G}_x, d\varphi \right) - \int_0^t \left( G_x, dA \right). \tag{5.17} \]

Note that all sums over $x$ are finite sums from 1 to $N$. From (5.4) we see that $l_{h^x}$ is a sum of three terms. Thus the left side of (5.17) is correspondingly a sum of three series, say $S_1$, $S_2$, and $-S_3$. We have

\[ S_1 = G_x \int_0^t \langle h^x, d\varphi \rangle = \int_0^t \langle \dot{G}_x, d\varphi \rangle, \]
which coincides with the first term on the right side of (5.17). For $S_2$ and $S_3$ we have

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2}G_\delta \int_0^1 \left< H_\delta \Theta_{\delta,1}(H_\delta, \, H\delta^2), \, d\beta \right>$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left< H_\delta \Theta_{\delta,1}(H_\delta, \, HG_\delta), \, d\beta \right>.$$  

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{2}G_\delta \int_0^1 \left< \text{Ric}_\delta(H\delta^2), \, d\beta \right>$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left< \text{Ric}_\delta(HG_\delta), \, d\beta \right>.$$  

It is clear now that the sum $S_1 - S_2 - S_3$ is equal to the right side of (5.17).

Compare the following theorem with Theorem 5.2.

**Theorem 5.6.** If $G \in \text{Dom}(D) \cap L^2(\mathbb{H}; v)$ and $DG$ has $L^2$-trace, then $G \in \text{Dom}(D^*)$ and

$$D^*G = -\text{Trace} \, DG + \int_0^1 \left< G_\delta, \, d\beta \right> - \int_0^1 \left< G_\delta, \, dA_\delta \right>. \quad (5.18)$$

In particular, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{H}) \subset \text{Dom}(D^*)$ and

$$(DF, G)_{L^2(\mathbb{H}; v)} = (F, D^*G)$$

for $F \in \text{Dom}(D)$ and $G \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{H})$.

**Proof.** Let $G^N$ be the $N$-truncation of $G$:

$$G^N = \sum_{n=1}^N h^n \left< G, \, h^n \right>.$$  

There is a sequence $\{G_n\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{H})$ such that $G_n \to G$ in $L^2(\mathbb{H}; v)$ and $DG_n \to DG$ in $L^2(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}; v)$. Fix a positive integer $N$ and let $G^N_n$ be the $N$-truncation of $G_n$. Then $G^N_n \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{H})$. By Lemma 5.5 we have for any $F \in \text{Dom}(D)$,

$$(DF, G^N)_{L^2(\mathbb{H}; v)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (DF, G^N_n)_{L^2(\mathbb{H}; v)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (F, D^*G^N_n). \quad (5.19)$$
Now the convergence $D\gamma_n \to D\gamma$ in $L^2(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}; \nu)$ implies that for each fixed $N,$

\[
\text{Trace } D^i\gamma_n^N \to \text{Trace } D^i\gamma^N \text{ in } L^2(\nu).
\]

The convergence $G_n \to G$ in $L^2(\mathbb{H}; \nu)$ implies that

\[
\int_0^1 \langle \hat{G}_{n,x}^N, d\omega_x \rangle \to \int_0^1 \langle \hat{G}_x^N, d\omega_x \rangle
\]

and

\[
\int_0^1 \langle \hat{G}_{n,x}^N, dA_x \rangle \to \int_0^1 \langle \hat{G}_x^N, dA_x \rangle.
\]

Both convergence take place in $L^2(\nu)$. Thus we have $D^i\gamma_n^N \to D^i\gamma^N$ in $L^2(\nu)$ with $D^i\gamma^N$ given as in (5.18). It follows from (5.19) that

\[
(DF, G^N)_{L^2(\mathbb{H}; \nu)} = (F, D^i\gamma^N). \tag{5.20}
\]

We take the limit in the above relation as $N \to \infty$. Since $G^N \to G$ in $L^2(\nu)$, the left-hand side goes to $(DF, G)$. For the right-hand side we have

\[
D^i\gamma^N = -\text{Trace } D\gamma^N + \int_0^1 \langle \hat{G}_x^N, d\omega_x \rangle - \int_0^1 \langle \hat{G}_x^N, dA_x \rangle.
\]

We have

\[
\text{Trace } D\gamma^N = \sum_{s \leq N} \langle D\gamma, h^s \otimes h^s \rangle.
\]

Since we assume that $D\gamma$ has $L^2$-trace, $\text{Trace } D\gamma^N \to \text{Trace } D\gamma$ in $L^2(\nu)$. Now $G^N \to G$ in $L^2(\mathbb{H}; \nu)$, which implies both $\hat{G}^N \to \hat{G}$ and $G^N \to G$ in $L^2(\mathbb{H}^0; \nu)$, where $\mathbb{H}^0 = L^2[0,1]$. This shows that

\[
\int_0^1 \langle \hat{G}_{x}^N, d\omega_x \rangle \to \int_0^1 \langle \hat{G}_x, d\omega_x \rangle,
\]

and

\[
\int_0^1 \langle \hat{G}_{x}^N, dA_x \rangle \to \int_0^1 \langle \hat{G}_x, dA_x \rangle.
\]
in $L^2(v)$. It follows that $D^*G^N \to D^*G$, where $D^*G$ is given by (5.18). Thus by letting $N \to \infty$ in (5.20) we obtain

$$(DF, G)_{L^2(v)} = (F, D^*G).$$

This implies that $G \in \text{Dom}(D^*)$ with $D^*G$ given by (5.18).

6. Ornstein–Uhlenbeck Operator in Path Spaces

The usual Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator in euclidean path spaces can be generalized to path spaces over Riemannian manifolds. We define the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator $L$ on $W^1(M)$ to be the unique self-adjoint operator associated with the Dirichlet form

$$\delta(F, F) = \|DF\|_{L^2(v)},$$

By the general theory of Dirichlet forms (see Fukushima [6] or Ma and Röckner [12]). we have $\text{Dom}(\delta) = \text{Dom}(\sqrt{-L})$ and $\delta(F, F) = \|\sqrt{-L}F\|_{L^2(v)}$. The semigroup generated by the Dirichlet form is $P_t = e^{tL}$ and $L$ is the $L^2$-infinitesimal generator of $P_t$.

**Theorem 6.1.** We have $L = -D^*D$. If $F \in \text{Dom}(D^2)$ and $D^2F$ is of trace class, then $F \in \text{Dom}(L)$ and at $\gamma \in W^1(M)$,

$$LF = \text{Trace } D^2F - \int_0^1 \langle (DF)^* \gamma, d\omega \rangle + \int_0^1 \langle (DF)\gamma, dA \rangle, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\omega = J^{-1} \gamma$ is the stochastic development of $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $A$ is defined in (5.15).

**Proof.** Assume that $F \in \text{Dom}(D^*D)$ and $G \in \text{Dom}(\sqrt{-L})$. Then they are both in $\text{Dom}(D)$. We have

$$(\sqrt{-L}F, \sqrt{-L}G) = \delta(F, G) = (DF, DG)_{L^2(v)} = (D^*DF, G).$$

Hence $\sqrt{-L}F \in \text{Dom}(\sqrt{-L})$ and $-LF = -D^*DF$, that is, $-D^*D \subset L$.

If $F \in \text{Dom}(L)$, then $F \in \text{Dom}(\sqrt{-L} = \text{Dom}(D)$. For any $G \in \text{Dom}(D)$, we have

$$(DF, DG)_{L^2(v)} = \delta(F, G) = (\sqrt{-LF}, \sqrt{-LG}) = (LF, G).$$

Thus we have $DF \in \text{Dom}(D^*)$ and $D^*DF = -LF$. Therefore $L \subset -D^*D$.

It follows that $L = -D^*D$. The formula for $L$ then follows from Theorem 5.6.
We give an equivalent but more instructive formula for $L$. If $Q$ is a continuous semimartingale and $F \in \text{Dom}(D)$, we write

$$D_Q F = \int_0^t \langle DF, dQ \rangle.$$ 

**Proposition 6.2.** If $F \in \text{Dom}(D^2)$ and $D^2 F$ is of trace class, then

$$LF = \text{Trace } D^2 F - D_Q F,$$

where the "drift vector field" is given at $\gamma \in W_0(M)$ by

$$Q = \omega - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (s \wedge \tau) \langle H, \Theta_{t;}(H, H) + \text{Ric}_{t;}(H), d\omega \rangle. \tag{6.2}$$

Here $\omega = J^{-1} \hat{\gamma}$ is the stochastic development of $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{H}^d$ and $U = U(\gamma)$ is the horizontal lift of $\gamma$ in $O(M)$.

**Proof.** The second term on the right-hand side of (6.1) corresponds to the first term in the formula (6.2) for $Q$. For the third term we have

$$\int_0^t \langle (DF)_s, dA_s \rangle = \sum_x \langle DF, h^x \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \int_0^t \langle h^x_s, dA_s \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle DF, \sum_x h^x \int_0^t \langle h^x_s, dA_s \rangle \right\rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$$

and by (5.12)

$$\sum_x h^x \int_0^t \langle h^x_s, dA_s \rangle = \int_0^t (s \wedge \tau) dA_s.$$ 

It follows that the drift vector field is given by

$$Q = \omega - \int_0^t (s \wedge \tau) dA_s.$$ 

Finally we show that the above formula can be applied to cylindrical functions. The result is stated in Theorem 6.6 below. We divide the proof of this technical result into several steps.

**Lemma 6.3.** If $h \in \mathbb{H}$ and $K \in \text{Dom}(D)$, then $hK \in \text{Dom}(D)$ and $D(hK)$ is of trace class.

**Proof.** There is a sequence $\{K_n\}$ of cylindrical functions such that $K_n \to K$ in $L^2(v)$ and $DK_n \to DK$ in $L^2(\mathbb{H}; v)$. We have $hK_n \to hK$ in
$L^2(\mathbb{H}; \nu)$ and $D(hK_n) = h \otimes DK_n \to h \otimes DK$ in $L^2(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}; \nu)$. Since $DF$ is closed we have $hK \in \text{Dom}(D)$ and $D(hK) = h \otimes DK$. We have

$$D(hK) = h^\theta \otimes h^\gamma \langle h, h^\theta \rangle \downarrow D_\theta K.$$ 

Hence $D(hK)$ is of trace class and

$$\text{Trace } D(hK) = \langle h, h^\gamma \rangle \downarrow D_\theta K = D_\theta K.$$

We use $e_i$ to denote the $i$th unit coordinate vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let $F \in \mathcal{E}$ and $g_{\tau,s}(\tau) = (\tau \wedge s) e_i \in \mathbb{H}$. Then

$$\langle DF \rangle_{s,\tau} = D_{\mathcal{E},s} F.$$ 

**Proof.** By (5.12) we have $g_{\tau,s}(\tau) = h_{\tau,s}^\gamma h_{\tau,s}^\gamma$. Hence

$$\langle DF \rangle_{s,\tau} = h_{\tau,s}^\gamma D_{\mathcal{E},s} F = D_{\mathcal{E},s} F = D_{\mathcal{E},s} F.$$ 

**Lemma 6.5.** Let $F$ be a smooth function on $O(M)$ and $G(\gamma) = F(U(\gamma),\gamma)$ for some $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$. Then for any $h \in \mathbb{H}$ we have at $t = 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} G(\zeta_h) = \{ \text{Hh} + (K_h)^* \} F(U(\gamma),\gamma),$$

where $K^*$ denotes the canonical vertical vector field on $O(M)$ corresponding to $K$, i.e., $\omega(K^*) = K$.

**Proof.** This follows directly from (2.4) and (2.8).

**Theorem 6.6.** If $F \in \mathcal{E}$, then $F \in \text{Dom}(D^2)$ and $D^2 F$ is of trace class. Hence $\mathcal{E} \subset \text{Dom}(L)$ and (6.1) holds for all $F \in \mathcal{E}$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{E}$. From (5.3) we have

$$DF = \sum_{p=1}^n (s \wedge s_p)(H^{(p)}F_p) U,$$

where $F = F \pi$ and $U$ is the horizontal lift operator. Note that $H^{(p)}F$ is a cylindrical function on $O(M)$. Thus to prove the theorem, it is enough to show the following assertion: If $h \in \mathbb{H}$ and $K = \tilde{F} : U$ with a cylindrical function $\tilde{F}$ on $O(M)$, then $hK \in \text{Dom}(D)$ and $D(hK)$ is of trace class. By Lemma 6.3 all we need to show is $K \in \text{Dom}(D)$. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that $\tilde{F}$ depends only on one time parameter, i.e., $\tilde{F}(u) = F(u,\tau)$ for a smooth function $F$ on $O(M)$ and some $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$.

The basic idea of the proof is to approximate $K$ by cylindrical functions on $W \mathcal{O}(M)$. Let $\psi : W \mathcal{O}(M) \to W \mathcal{O}(M)$ be the following piecewise
geodesic approximation. Denote the injectivity radius of $M$ by $r$. If there is a $k$ such that $d(\gamma_{k,n},\gamma_{(k-1)n}) \geq r/2$, then $\psi^n(\gamma) = 0$ for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$; otherwise $\psi^n(\gamma) = q_{2^k,n}^{*,x}(t - k/n)$, where $q_{s,t}$ denotes the unique geodesic joining $x$ and $y$ in time $1/n$. Now $\psi^n(\gamma)$ depends only $\gamma_{k,n}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. Let $K_n = K \cdot \psi^n$. Then $K_n \in \mathcal{H}$. By approximation theory of stochastic integrals and SDEs (see Ikeda and Watanabe [8], Chapter 6), we can show that $K_n \rightarrow K$ in $L^2(\nu)$. Hence it is enough to show that $DK_n$ converges in $L^2(\mathbb{H}; \nu)$.

For $h \in \mathbb{H}$, let $\psi^n h \in \mathbb{H}$ be defined by the equation $D_{\psi^n h} = \psi^n D_h$. We have by Lemma 6.4

$$(DK_n)_{t,s} = D_{K_n}(K \cdot \psi^n).$$

Let $f^n_{t,s} = \psi^n g_{t,s}$. Then we have from Lemma 6.5,

$$(DK_n)_{t,s} = D_{K_n} K = \{ Hf^n_{t,s}(\tau) + (K_{t,s})^{*\dagger} \} F. \quad (6.3)$$

Let

$$f^n_{t,s} = \psi^n \left[ \frac{dg_{t,s}}{ds} \right] = \psi^n h_{t,s},$$

where $h_{t,s}(\tau) = \mathcal{Z}_{(0,s)}(\tau)e_i$. Then

$$\frac{d}{ds} (DK_n)_{t,s} = \{ Hf^n_{t,s}(\tau) + (K_{t,s})^{*\dagger} \} F. \quad (6.4)$$

By approximation theory it can be shown that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$E \int_0^1 |f^n_{t,s} - h_{t,s}|^2 ds \rightarrow 0, \quad E \int_0^1 |K_{t,s} - K_{h_{t,s}}|^r ds \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.5)$$

Define $DK$ by

$$(DK)_{t,s} = \{ Hg_{t,s}(\tau) + (K_{t,s})^{*\dagger} \} F.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{ds} (DK)_{t,s} = \{ Hh_{t,s}(\tau) + (K_{h_{t,s}})^{*\dagger} \} K. \quad (6.6)$$

It follows from (6.4) - (6.6) that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|DK_n - DK|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}; \nu)} = \sum_{i=1}^d E \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{d}{ds} (DK_n)_{t,s} - \frac{d}{ds} (DK)_{t,s} \right]^2 ds \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that $K \in \text{Dom}(D)$ and the proof is completed. \qed
Remark 6.7. It is proved in Driver and Röckner [4] that there exists
a $W_{0}(M)$-valued diffusion process \( \{ X_{\sigma}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \} \) generated by $L/2$. Using
the explicit formula (6.1) it is easy to see that the one-point motion
\( \{ X_{\sigma}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \} \) is a Brownian motion on $M$ with a drift, which is given at
$\gamma \in W_{0}(M)$ by

\[
-\frac{1}{2} U(\gamma), \left\{ \left( J^{-1} \gamma \right)_{t} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} (s \wedge \tau) \, dA_{s} \right\},
\]

where $\omega = J^{-1} \gamma$ and $A$ is given by (5.15).

REFERENCES

2. B. Driver, A Cameron Martin type quasi-invariance theorem for Brownian motion on
3. B. Driver, Towards calculus and geometry on path spaces, in “Proceedings of Symposia
  on Pure Mathematics” (M. C. Cranston and M. A. Pinsky, Eds.), Vol. 57: Stochastic
4. B. Driver and M. Röckner, Construction of diffusions on path and loop spaces of
5. S. Fang and P. Malliavin, Stochastic analysis on the path space of a Riemannian
  manifold I, Markovian Stochastic Calculus 118 (1993), 249–274.
  Amsterdam, 1980.
7. E. P. Hsu, Flows and quasi-invariance of the Wiener measure on path spaces, in
  “Proceedings of Symposia on Pure Mathematics” (M. C. Cranston and M. A. Pinsky,
10. P. Malliavin, Diffusion on loops, in “Conference in Honor of Antoni Zygmund”
    International Group, Belmont, CA, 764–782.
11. M.-P. Malliavin and P. Malliavin, Integration on loop groups. 1. Quasi-invariant
12. M. Röckner and Z. Ma, “Introduction to (Non-Symmetric) Dirichlet Forms,” Springer-