

## INTEGRAL EXTENSIONS

## 1. Integral Dependence

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be commutative rings with  $A$  a subring of  $B$ . An element  $x$  in  $B$  is said to be *integral* over  $A$  if it satisfies a *monic* polynomial  $f(X) \in A[X]$ . If  $A$  is a field, this is the same thing as saying that  $x$  is algebraic over  $A$ . However, in general it is not at all the same. For example, if  $A$  is a domain with field of fractions  $K$  and  $L$  is an algebraic extension of  $K$ , then each  $x \in L$  satisfies an algebraic equation over  $K$  and by multiplying by a common denominator, it is not hard to see that it satisfies an algebraic equation with coefficients in  $A$ . However, generally it will not satisfy such an equation with leading coefficient 1.

Example. Let  $A = \mathbf{Z}$  considered as a subring of  $B = \mathbf{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$ . Note that every element of  $B$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{Q}$  so it satisfies an algebraic equation over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . When is an element  $\xi = a + b\sqrt{5}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$  integral over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ? To determine this, note that  $\xi$  is certainly a root of  $f(X) = (X - \xi)(X - \bar{\xi}) = X^2 - 2aX + (a^2 - 5b^2)$ , where  $\bar{\xi} = a - b\sqrt{5}$ . Thus  $\xi$  will be integral over  $\mathbf{Z}$  if  $2a, a^2 - 5b^2 \in \mathbf{Z}$ . This will be the case if  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ , but it is also true for example for the element  $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ .

It is also not too hard to see that if  $\xi$  is integral over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , then  $2a, a^2 - 5b^2 \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

PROPOSITION. *Let  $A \subseteq B$  be commutative rings. The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $b \in B$  is integral over  $A$ .
- (ii)  $A[b]$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -submodule of  $B$ .
- (iii)  $A[b]$  is contained in some subring  $C$  of  $B$  which is finitely generated as an  $A$ -submodule.
- (iv) There exists a faithful  $A[b]$ -module  $M$  which is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume  $b^n + a_1b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$  with  $a_i \in A$ . Then  $b^n$  (or any higher power) can be expressed as a linear combination over  $A$  of the powers  $1, b, \dots, b^{n-1}$ . Hence any element of  $A[b]$  may be reexpressed as a polynomial in  $b$  which involves only the powers  $1, b, \dots, b^{n-1}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is clear since  $A[b]$  is a subring of  $B$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Let  $M =$  the subring  $C$ . Since  $A$  is a subring of  $C$ , it is clear that  $C$  is faithful as an  $A$ -module. (Any  $x \in A$  which kills  $C$  also kills  $1 \in A$ .)

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Define  $\rho_b \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$  by  $\rho_b(x) = bx$ . Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a set of generators for  $M$  as an  $A$ -module. Then we have

$$\rho_b(x_i) = bx_i = \sum_j p_{ji} x_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

By the Hamilton-Cayley theorem,  $\rho_b$  satisfies the characteristic polynomial  $f(X) \in A[X]$  of the matrix  $P = (p_{ij})$ . That is,  $f(\rho_b) = 0$  in the ring  $\text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ . However,  $\rho_{f(b)} = f(\rho_b)$  and since  $M$  is faithful as an  $A[b]$ -module,  $f(b) = 0$ . Since a characteristic polynomial is always monic, we are done.

Let  $A$  be a subring of  $B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are both commutative rings. We say that  $B$  is *integral* over  $A$  if every element of  $B$  is integral over  $A$ . (More generally, if  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism of commutative rings, we say  $B$  is integral over  $A$  if it is integral over  $\phi(A)$ .)

**COROLLARY.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be commutative rings. If  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$  are integral over  $A$ , then  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$  is integral over  $A$ .

**PROOF.** We prove the corollary for  $n = 2$ ; the general case is similar. Let  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  be integral over  $A$ . Then  $A[b_1]$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module.  $b_2$  is also integral over  $A[b_1]$  since it is even integral over  $A$ , so  $A[b_2][b_1] = A[b_1, b_2]$  is finitely generated as an  $A[b_1]$ -module. It follows easily that  $A[b_1, b_2]$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module. (Why?) If  $x \in A[b_1, b_2]$  then  $A[x] \subseteq A[b_1, b_2]$  which is a finitely generated  $A$ -module. It follows from part (iii) of the Proposition that  $x$  is integral over  $A$ .

**COROLLARY.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be commutative rings. The set  $C$  of all elements  $b \in B$  which are integral over  $A$  is a subring of  $B$ .

**PROOF.** Clear.

The subring of  $B$  of all elements integral over  $A$  is called the *integral closure* of  $A$  in  $B$ .

**Corollary.** If  $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$  are commutative rings, and  $B$  is integral over  $A$  and  $C$  is integral over  $B$ , then  $C$  is integral over  $A$ . Thus integrality is transitive.

**PROOF.** Let  $c \in C$  satisfy the monic polynomial equation

$$c^n + b_{n-1}c^{n-1} + \dots + b_0 = 0 \quad \text{with } b_i \in B.$$

Then  $c$  is integral over  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$ . Hence,  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n, c]$  is finitely generated as an  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$ -module. However,  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module since  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are integral over  $A$ , so  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n, c]$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module. It follows from (iii) that  $c$  is integral over  $A$ .

Let  $A \subseteq B$  be commutative rings, and let  $\bar{A}$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$ . It follows from the above corollary that the integral closure of  $\bar{A}$  in  $B$  is just  $\bar{A}$  again.

Let  $A$  be a *domain*, and let  $K$  be its field of fractions. We say that  $A$  is *integrally closed* if the integral closure of  $A$  in  $K$  is just  $A$ .

**THEOREM.** Every unique factorization domain  $A$  is integrally closed.

**PROOF.** Exercise. Hint: Assume  $a/b$  with  $a, b \in A, b \neq 0$  satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $A$ , and show  $b$  cannot have any irreducible factors.

Examples.  $\mathbf{Z}$ , any PID, and  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  where  $k$  is a field.

**PROPOSITION.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be domains with  $B$  integral over  $A$ . Then  $A$  is a field if and only if  $B$  is a field.

**PROOF.** Suppose  $A$  is a field.  $x \in B$  is algebraic over  $A$  so  $A[x]$  is a field. It follows that if  $x \neq 0$  then  $x$  is invertible.

Conversely, suppose  $B$  is a field. Let  $x \neq 0 \in A$ .  $1/x \in B$  is integral over  $A$  so we have

$$(1/x)^n + a^1(1/x)^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0 \quad \text{where } a_i \in A.$$

Multiply through by  $x^{n-1}$  to obtain

$$1/x = -(a_1 + \dots + a_n x^{n-1}) \in A.$$

It follows that  $A$  is a field.

Note that it follows that if  $A$  is a domain then the field of fractions of  $A$  cannot be integral over  $A$  without being  $A$ .

**PROPOSITION.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be commutative rings with  $B$  integral over  $A$ . If  $\mathfrak{b}$  is an ideal of  $B$ , then  $B/\mathfrak{b}$  is integral over  $A/A \cap \mathfrak{b}$ .

**PROOF.** Note first that  $A/A \cap \mathfrak{b}$  may be viewed naturally as a subring of  $B/\mathfrak{b}$  since  $A \cap \mathfrak{b}$  is the kernel of  $A \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{b}$ . Clearly any monic polynomial equation in  $B$  with coefficients in  $A$  may be read modulo  $\mathfrak{b}$  as a polynomial with coefficients in  $A \cap \mathfrak{b}$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $A \subseteq B$  be commutative rings with  $B$  integral over  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a prime ideal in  $B$ . Then  $\mathfrak{P}$  is maximal if and only if  $A \cap \mathfrak{P}$  is maximal.*

**PROOF.** Consider the integral ring extension  $A/A \cap \mathfrak{P} \subseteq B/\mathfrak{P}$ . The former is a field if and only if the latter is a field.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $A \subseteq B$  be commutative rings, and let  $\overline{A}$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$ . Let  $S$  be a multiplicative subset of  $A$ . Then  $S^{-1}\overline{A}$  is the integral closure of  $S^{-1}A$  in  $S^{-1}B$ . In particular, if  $B$  is integral over  $A$ , then  $S^{-1}B$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $b/s \in S^{-1}\overline{A}$  where  $b \in \overline{A}$  and  $s \in S$ . Then  $b$  satisfies some polynomial equation

$$b^n + a_{n-1}b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0 \text{ where } a_i \in A.$$

Divide through by  $s^n$  in  $S^{-1}B$  to get

$$(b/s)^n + (a_{n-1}/s)(b/s)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n/s^n = 0.$$

This is a monic polynomial equation with coefficients in  $S^{-1}A$ .

Conversely, suppose  $b/s \in S^{-1}B$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ , and

$$(b/s)^n + (a_{n-1}/s_{n-1})(b/s)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n/s_n = 0$$

where  $a_i \in A, s_i \in S$ . Let  $t = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$  and multiply the above equation by  $(st)^n$  to get

$$(bt)^n + c_{n-1}(bt)^{n-1} + \cdots + c_n = 0$$

for suitable  $c_i \in A$ . (What is  $c_i$ ?) It follows that  $bt$  is integral over  $A$ , so  $b/s = bt/ts \in S^{-1}\overline{A}$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $A \subseteq B$  be commutative rings with  $B$  integral over  $A$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}'$  are prime ideals of  $B$ . Then*

$$\mathfrak{P} \cap A = \mathfrak{P}' \cap A \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}'.$$

**PROOF.** Suppose  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap A = \mathfrak{P}' \cap A$ . Let  $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$ . Note that  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{P} \subseteq S^{-1}\mathfrak{P}'$ . Also,

$$S^{-1}\mathfrak{P} \cap S^{-1}A = S^{-1}(\mathfrak{P} \cap A) = S^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = S^{-1}(\mathfrak{P}' \cap A) = S^{-1}\mathfrak{P}' \cap S^{-1}A.$$

However,  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $S^{-1}A$ . Since  $S^{-1}B$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A$ , it follows that  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{P}$  and  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{P}'$  are maximal ideals of  $S^{-1}B$ . Hence,  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{P} = S^{-1}\mathfrak{P}'$ . Let  $x \in \mathfrak{P}'$  so  $x/1 = y/s$  where  $y \in \mathfrak{P}$  and  $s \in S$ . It follows that  $\exists u \in S$  such that  $ux \in \mathfrak{P}$ . However,  $u \in A - A \cap \mathfrak{P}$  so  $u \notin \mathfrak{P}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{P}$  is prime, it follows that  $x \in \mathfrak{P}$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}'$ .

The corollary does not preclude the possibility that  $A \cap \mathfrak{P} = A \cap \mathfrak{P}'$  with  $\mathfrak{P} \neq \mathfrak{P}'$  if we drop the assumption  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}'$ . In fact, that is the normal state of affairs for an integral extension.

**Example.** Let  $A = \mathbf{Z}$  and let  $B = \mathbf{Z}[i]$  —the ring of Gaussian integers. The ideals  $A(2+i)$  and  $A(2-i)$  are not the same, they are both prime, and they both intersect  $\mathbf{Z}$  in the ideal  $5\mathbf{Z}$ . (Proofs?)

**THEOREM.** *Let  $A \subseteq B$  be commutative rings with  $B$  integral over  $A$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal in  $A$ . Then there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  in  $B$  such that  $\mathfrak{P} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $S^{-1}B$  is integral over  $S^{-1}A = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}'$  be any maximal ideal of  $S^{-1}B$ , and consider  $\mathfrak{P}' \cap A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . The latter ideal is maximal so it is the unique maximal ideal  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Also, it is not hard to see (as earlier) that the pull back of  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$  to  $A$  is just  $\mathfrak{p}$ . However, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^{-1}A & \longrightarrow & S^{-1}B \end{array}$$

so the pull back  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $\mathfrak{P}'$  to  $B$  intersects  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

The theorem may be interpreted as saying that the induced map  $\text{spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{spec}(A)$  given by  $\mathfrak{P} \mapsto A \cap \mathfrak{P}$  is onto provided  $B$  is integral over  $A$ . Some of the other results above may also be rephrased in suggestive ways in terms of the spectra. For example,  $\text{spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{spec}(A)$  carries  $\text{max}(B)$  onto  $\text{max}(A)$ .

PROPOSITION. *Let  $A$  be a domain. The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A$  is integrally closed.
- (ii)  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec}(A)$ .
- (iii)  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is integrally closed for each  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{max}(A)$ .

PROOF. Let  $K$  be the field of fractions of  $A$ . Note that if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal, then since  $A$  is a domain,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  may be identified with a subring of  $K$ , and  $K$  is also the field of fractions of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $K$ , and let  $i : A \rightarrow B$  be the inclusion homomorphism. Then  $A$  is integrally closed if and only if  $i$  is an epimorphism. View everything as an  $A$ -module. Then  $i$  is an epimorphism if and only if  $i_{\mathfrak{p}} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an epimorphism for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec}(A)$  (and similarly for maximal ideals). However,  $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = S^{-1}B$  ( $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$ ) is the integral closure of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $K_{\mathfrak{p}} = K$ . Hence,  $i_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an epimorphism if and only if  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed.

### Exercises.

1. Show as indicated in the text that if  $\xi = a + b\sqrt{5} \in \mathbf{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$  is integral over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , then  $2a, a^2 - 5b^2 \in \mathbf{Z}$ .
2. Prove that every UFD is integrally closed. Give an example of an integrally closed domain which is not a UFD.
3. Show that  $A = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\sqrt{5}$  is not integrally closed. Hint: The field of fractions of  $A$  is  $\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}\sqrt{5} = \mathbf{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$ .
4. Let  $B$  be a commutative ring and let  $G$  be a finite group of ring automorphisms of  $B$ . Let  $A$  be the set of invariants of  $G$ , i.e.,  $A = \{x \in B \mid \alpha(x) = x, \text{ all } \alpha \in G\}$ .
  - (a) Show that  $A$  is a subring of  $B$ .
  - (b) Show that  $B$  is integral over  $A$ .