## Math 291-1: Final Exam Solutions Northwestern University, Fall 2015

1. Determine, with justification, whether each of the following is true or false.

- (a) If A and B are  $2 \times 2$  matrices in reduced row-echelon form with the same image, then A = B.
- (b) There exists a  $2 \times 2$  matrix B such that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (c) Any 5-dimensional real vector space has a 3-dimensional subspace.

Solution. (a) This is false. For instance,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are both in reduced row-echelon form and have image equal to the x-axis, but are not equal.

(b) This is false. The matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible, and if the product of two square matrices is invertible then each matrix must be invertible as well, but  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is not invertible.

(c) This is true. If V is 5-dimensional and  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5$  is a basis of V, then span  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  will be a 3-dimensional subspace of V.

**2.** Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Show that  $\operatorname{rank}(A) = \operatorname{rank}(A \mid \mathbf{b})$  if and only if  $\mathbf{b} \in \operatorname{im} A$ , where  $(A \mid \mathbf{b})$  denotes an augmented matrix.

*Proof.* The equality  $\operatorname{rank}(A) = \operatorname{rank}(A \mid \mathbf{b})$  is true if and only if the final column of the reduced row-echelon form of  $(A \mid \mathbf{b})$  does not have a pivot since all pivots in this reduced form would already be accounted for in the portion corresponding to A. This is true if and only if  $\operatorname{rref}(A \mid \mathbf{b})$  does not contain a row of the form

$$(0 \cdots 0 \mid 1),$$

which is true if and only if  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a solution, which is just another way of saying that  $\mathbf{b} \operatorname{im} A$ .

**3.** Show that if A and B are  $n \times n$  matrices such that AB = I, then A and B are invertible. Hint: First show that B is invertible using some portion of the Amazingly Awesome Theorem.

*Proof 1.* Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Then  $AB\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  so  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  since AB = I. Thus the only solution of  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  is  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , so B is invertible. Hence  $B^{-1}$  exists, so AB = I gives

$$ABB^{-1} = IB^{-1}$$
 and hence  $A = B^{-1}$ .

Since the inverse of an invertible matrix is invertible, A is invertible as well.

*Proof 2.* We know rank $(AB) \leq \operatorname{rank} B$  (as shown on the practice problems) and rank $(AB) \leq \operatorname{rank} A$  (as shown on the homework). Thus since AB = I, rank(AB) = n so  $n \leq \operatorname{rank} B$  and  $n \operatorname{rank} A$ . But each of these ranks is also at most n, so rank B = n and rank A = n, which means that both A and B are invertible.

4. Let  $p_1(x), p_2(x), p_3(x)$  be the polynomials

$$p_1(x) = 1 - x^2$$
,  $p_2(x) = 2 + x$ ,  $p_3(x) = 8 + 3x - 2x^2$ .

Show that  $q(x) = a + bx + cx^2$  is in span  $\{p_1(x), p_2(x), p_3(x)\}$  if and only if a - 2b + c = 0, and determine the dimension of this span.

*Proof.* We have that q(x) is in the span of the given polynomials if and only if there exists scalars  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  such that

$$c_1p_1(x) + c_2p_2(x) + c_3p_3(x) = a + bx + cx^2,$$

which after plugging in for  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  and regrouping gives

$$(c_1 + 2c_2 + 8c_3) + (c_2 + 3c_3)x + (-c_1 - 2c_3)x^2 = a + bx + cx^2.$$

Thus a, b, c must have the property that the linear system

$$c_1 + 2c_2 + 8c_3 = a$$
$$c_2 + 3c_3 = b$$
$$-c_1 \qquad -2c_3 = c$$

has a solution. Row operations give:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 8 & | & a \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & | & b \\ -1 & 0 & -2 & | & c \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 8 & | & a \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & | & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & a+c-2b \end{pmatrix},$$

so the given system has a solution if and only if a + c - 2b = 0 as claimed.

Under the isomorphism  $P_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$  given by

$$a + bx + cx^2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix},$$

the span of the given polynomials corresponds to the span of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 8\\3\\-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Based on the row operations above, this span of these three vectors is 2-dimensional since the reduced echelon form will have 2 pivots, so span  $\{p_1(x), p_2(x), p_3(x)\}$  is 2-dimensional as well.  $\Box$ 

**5.** Let W be an affine subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\mathbf{b} \in W$ . Show that

$$U = \{\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{w} \in W\}$$

is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . You cannot just simply quote the homework problem which says this is true—you must work it out in this special case.

*Proof 1.* This proof just repeats the proof of the more general situation given in the homework. First, since  $\mathbf{b} \in W$  we have

$$\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0} \in U,$$

so U contains the zero vector. If  $\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{b} \in U$  with  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in W$ , then

$$(\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{b}) + (\mathbf{w}_1 - b) = (\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{b} \in U$$

since  $\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{b} \in W$  given that W is closed under affine combinations; this shows that U is closed under addition. Finally, if  $\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{b} \in W$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$a(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{b}) = a\mathbf{w} - a\mathbf{b} = a\mathbf{w} + (1 - a)\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \in U$$

since  $a\mathbf{w} + (1-a)\mathbf{b} \in W$  given that W is closed under affine combinations. Hence U is closed under scalar multiplication, so it is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Proof 2.* In the case of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  there is another proof we can give which since we know what all affine subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  must look like. If  $W = \{\mathbf{b}\}$  consists of a single point, then the only thing in U is  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ , and  $U = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If  $W = \mathbb{R}^2$ , then U is still all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  since subtracting  $\mathbf{b}$  from points of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  still results in all possible points of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Hence in this case U is also a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Finally, if W is a line ax + by = c, passing through the origin or not, then  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \end{pmatrix}$  on this satisfies  $ax_0 + by_0 = c$ . Thus if  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$  is any other point on this line,

$$\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} x - x_0 \\ y - y_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfies  $a(x - x_0) + b(y - y_0) = 0$ . Thus U in this case is the line ax + by = 0, which does pass through the origin and is thus a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**6.** Suppose V is a vector space over K and that  $T: V \to V$  is a linear transformation. If  $v \in V$  has the property that  $T^2(v) \neq 0$  but  $T^3(v) = 0$ , show that  $v, T(v), T^2(v)$  are linearly independent.

*Proof.* Suppose  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{K}$  satisfy

$$c_1v + c_2T(v) + c_3T^2(v) = 0.$$

Applying T to both sides gives

$$c_1T(v) + c_2T^2(v) + c_3T^3(v) = T(0),$$

which becomes

$$c_1 T(v) + c_2 T^2(v) = 0$$

since  $T^{3}(v) = 0$  and T(0) = 0. Applying T to this new equation gives

$$c_1 T^2(v) = 0$$

Since  $T^2(v) \neq 0$ , this means that  $c_1 = 0$ , which then turns the previous equation into

$$c_2 T^2(v) = 0.$$

Again since  $T^2(v) \neq 0$ , this means  $c_2 = 0$ , which turns the original equation into

$$c_3 T^2(v) = 0,$$

which gives  $c_3 = 0$ . Thus  $c_1v + c_2T(v) + c_3T^2(v) = 0$  implies  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$ , so  $v, T(v), T^2(v)$  are linearly independent.

**Remark.** Here is a nice use of this fact. The claim is that if A is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix for which  $A^3 = 0$ , then  $A^2 = 0$ . Indeed, if  $A^2 \neq 0$ , there is a vector v such that  $A^2v \neq 0$ . Since  $A^3 = 0$ , so  $A^3v = 0$  and hence the result of this problem shows that  $v, Av, A^2v$  are linearly independent, which is nonsense since these are vectors in  $\mathbb{K}^2$  and you can't have more linearly independent vectors than the dimension of your space. A generalization of this problem can be used to show that if A is  $n \times n$  and satisfies  $A^k = 0$  for some k, then in fact  $A^n = 0$ .

7. Consider the function  $T: M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$T(A) = A + A^*$$
 for any  $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,

where  $A^*$  denotes the conjugate transpose of A. This is a linear transformation over  $\mathbb{R}$ , meaning a linear transformation when considering  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  as a **real** vector space. Determine the dimension of the image of T. *Proof 1.* Here is a rank-nullity approach. Set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a+ib & c+id \\ e+if & g+ih \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $A \in \ker T$  if and only if  $A^* = -A$  (such an A is called *skew-Hermitian*), which requires

$$\begin{pmatrix} a-ib & e-if \\ c-id & g-ih \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a-ib & -c-id \\ -e-if & -g-ih \end{pmatrix}.$$

Comparing coefficients gives a = 0, g = 0, e = -c, f = d, so a matrix in the kernel is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} ib & c+id \\ -c+id & ih \end{pmatrix} = b \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + h \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

The four matrices on the right form a basis for ker T, so dim(ker T) = 4. Since dim  $M_2(\mathbb{C}) = 8$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , rank-nullity gives dim(im T) = 4.

*Proof 2.* We can also determine a basis for the image explicitly. (The image is actually the space of all  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrices, which is a consequence of this problem.)

Using the same notation as before, an element of the image looks like

$$A + A^* = \begin{pmatrix} a+ib & c+id \\ e+if & g+ih \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a-ib & e-if \\ c-id & g-ih \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2a & (c+e)+i(d-f) \\ (e+c)+i(f-d) & 2g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Breaking this up gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2a & (c+e)+i(d-f) \\ (e+c)+i(f-d) & 2g \end{pmatrix} = 2a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + (c+e) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + (d-f) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0$$

so the four matrices on the right give a basis for  $\operatorname{im} T$ , which is thus 4-dimensional. (Note that these four matrices also span the space of  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrices as we saw on a homework, so the image is the space of Hermitian matrices.)