

Math 320-2: Final Exam Solutions

Northwestern University, Winter 2015

1. Give an example of each of the following. You do not have to justify your answer.
- (a) A pointwise convergent sequence of functions on $[1, 2]$ which is not uniformly convergent.
 - (b) A subset of \mathbb{R} with empty interior and closure equal to $[0, 1]$ under the Euclidean metric.
 - (c) A disconnected subset of \mathbb{R}^2 which is compact with respect to the taxicab metric.
 - (d) A bounded continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with respect to the box metric.
 - (e) A metric on \mathbb{R} with respect to which $(1, 2)$ is open but not connected.

Solution. (a) The sequence $f_n(x) = (x - 1)^n$ works, analogously to how $g_n(x) = x^n$ is such an example on $[0, 1]$.

(b) The set $[0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ works: this is dense in $[0, 1]$ so its closure is $[0, 1]$ and has empty interior since it contains no irrationals.

(c) The subset consisting of the closed ball of radius 1 around $(0, 0)$ and the closed ball of radius 1 around $(5, 5)$ works.

(d) Any constant function works.

(e) The discrete metric is an example: since every subset is open with respect to a discrete metric, $(1, 2)$ is open but not connected since $(1, 2) = (1, \frac{3}{2}] \cup (\frac{3}{2}, 2)$ is a union of disjoint, open, nonempty sets. \square

2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the function $f_n : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_n(x) = x \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{1}{n}}.$$

Show that (f_n) converges uniformly on $[0, 2]$.

Proof. First, for a fixed $x \in [0, 2]$, we have

$$f_n(x) \rightarrow x \sin(0) + \sqrt{x^2} = \sqrt{x^2}$$

so (f_n) converges pointwise to $f(x) = \sqrt{x^2}$. To show that this convergence is uniform, let $\epsilon > 0$ and pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{25}{n} < \epsilon^2$. Then if $n \geq N$, we have for $x \in [0, 2]$:

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x) - f(x)| &= \left| x \sin \frac{x}{n} + \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{1}{n}} - \sqrt{x^2} \right| \\ &\leq \left| x \sin \frac{x}{n} \right| + \left| \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{1}{n}} - \sqrt{x^2} \right| \\ &= |x| \left| \sin \frac{x}{n} \right| + \left| \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|x|^2}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\ &= \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}} \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly as claimed. \square

3. Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is analytic on all of \mathbb{R} and that its 3rd derivative satisfies

$$f''' \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Show that f is a polynomial of degree at most 2. Hint: Argue that $f'''(0) = 0$ and use this to show that f''' must be zero everywhere. Also recall that derivatives of analytic functions are analytic.

Proof. Since f is analytic, so is f' , and hence f'' , and hence f''' as well. Analytic functions are continuous, so f''' is continuous and thus since $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$0 = f''' \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \rightarrow f'''(0),$$

so $f'''(0) = 0$. If f''' was not the zero function, there would thus exist an interval around 0 on which f was zero only at $x = 0$, which is not possible since any such interval will contain a number of the form $x = \frac{1}{n}$ at which f is also zero. Thus f''' must be the zero function. (Said more succinctly using fancier language, a nonzero analytic function has isolated zeroes, and $x = 0$ in this case is not an isolated zero of the analytic function f''' , so f''' must be the zero function.)

If f''' is the zero function, all higher order derivatives are also identically zero. Thus the Taylor series of f around 0 looks like

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \text{a bunch of terms which are all zero,}$$

so f is a polynomial of degree at most 2 as claimed. \square

4. Equip \mathbb{R}^2 with the Euclidean metric and let \mathbb{Q}^2 denote the set of points in \mathbb{R}^2 whose coordinates are both rational. Determine, with justification, the boundary of \mathbb{Q}^2 in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Solution. We claim that the boundary of \mathbb{Q}^2 in \mathbb{R}^2 is all of \mathbb{R}^2 . Note that it suffices to show this using the box metric on \mathbb{R}^2 since the boundary with respect to the Euclidean metric is the same as the boundary with respect to the box metric. Take any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and any ball $B_r(a, b)$. Since \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} , there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $m \in (a - r, a + r)$ and $n \in (b - r, b + r)$, and thus $(m, n) \in B_r(a, b) = (a - r, a + r) \times (b - r, b + r)$. (Note that if we had stuck with a Euclidean ball, the resulting (m, n) might not be in $B_r(a, b)$ since in this case this ball is smaller than the rectangle $(a - r, a + r) \times (b - r, b + r)$. You would have to be a bit more careful about how you pick m and n .)

Similarly, since the irrationals are dense in \mathbb{R} , there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x \in (a - r, a + r)$ and $y \in (b - r, b + r)$, so that $(x, y) \in B_r(a, b)$. Thus any ball around (a, b) contains something in \mathbb{Q}^2 and something in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Q}^2$, so (a, b) is a boundary point of \mathbb{Q}^2 as claimed. \square

5. Let Y be a discrete metric space and suppose that \mathbb{R}^3 is equipped with the Euclidean metric. Show that any continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow Y$ is constant.

Proof. Since \mathbb{R}^3 is connected and f is continuous, the image $f(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of f is connected as well. But the only nonempty connected subsets of a discrete space are those consisting of single points, so $f(\mathbb{R}^3)$ consists of a single point, meaning that f is constant.

To justify that the only nonempty connected subspaces of a discrete space are singletons, suppose that $S \subseteq Y$ is connected and nonempty. Take $p \in S$. Then

$$S = \{p\} \cup (S \setminus \{p\})$$

is a union of two disjoint open subsets (since any subset of a discrete space is open), so one set on the right must be empty. Thus $S \setminus \{p\}$ is empty, meaning that $S = \{p\}$ as required. \square

6. Suppose that K is a compact metric space and that $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function which is *locally bounded*, meaning that for every $p \in K$ there exists an open ball $B_r(p)$ around p on which f is bounded. Show that f is bounded on all of K .

(Careful: we are not assuming that f is continuous. Also, we do not know beforehand that the bound on f over one open ball must be the same as the bound it has over a different open ball.)

Proof. Since f is locally bounded, for any $p \in K$ there exists $B_{r(p)}(p)$ on which f is bounded, say by $M_p \in \mathbb{R}$. (The subscript is used to emphasize the dependence on p .) The open balls $B_{r(p)}(p)$ together form an open cover of K , so since K is compact this has a finite subcover, say

$$K \subseteq B_{r(p_1)}(p_1) \cup \cdots \cup B_{r(p_n)}(p_n).$$

Let M be the maximum of the corresponding local bounds M_{p_1}, \dots, M_{p_n} . For any $q \in K$, q is in some open ball $B_{r(p_i)}(p_i)$, so $|f(q)| \leq M_{p_i} \leq M$. Thus $|f(q)| \leq M$ for all $q \in K$, so f is bounded on all of K . \square

7. Let D be the subset of \mathbb{R}^2 given by the inequality $(x - 2)^2 + (y - 3)^2 \leq 1$, so D consists of the circle $(x - 2)^2 + (y - 3)^2 = 1$ and the region it encloses, and let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function $f(x, y) = xy - x^2 + ye^{xy}$. Show that there exists $(a, b) \in D$ such that

$$f(x, y) \leq f(a, b) \text{ for all } (x, y) \in D.$$

Proof. First, D is bounded since it is contained in the open ball $B_2(2, 3)$ taken with respect to the Euclidean metric, and it is closed since it contains its boundary circle. (Or, we can also say that it is closed since it equals the *closed* ball of radius 1 around $(2, 3)$, and closed balls in any metric space are always closed.) Thus D is compact by the Heine-Borel Theorem.

For $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$ in D , we have $x_n y_n \rightarrow xy$, $x_n^2 \rightarrow x^2$, and $e^{x_n y_n} \rightarrow e^{xy}$ since the single-variable exponential function is continuous. Thus $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow f(x, y)$, so f is continuous and thus the Extreme Value Theorem implies that f has a maximum, which is what is asked for. \square