

Nonlinear (Dennis-Stern-Loday) HC.

$$CL_{m,n} = \left\langle a_0, \dots, a_{j-1}, \begin{bmatrix} a_j^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_j^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n \right\rangle$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq j \leq n; \quad 1 - a_0 \dots a_{j-1} a_j^{(k)} a_{j+1} \dots a_n \in GL(A) \\ a_j \in M(A); \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(M(A) = \varinjlim M_n(A))$$

Subject to:

★ $m=1$: $\langle a_0, \dots, [a_j], \dots, a_n \rangle$ all the same,
den. by $\langle a_0, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_n \rangle$;

$$\text{Also put } CL_{0,n} = \{*\}$$

$CL_{*,\bullet}$ is a (simplicial) X (cyclic) set

(i.e. a cyclic object in simplicial sets):

The cyclic structure:

$$d_j \langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_0, \dots, a_j a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n \rangle, \quad 0 \leq j < n;$$

$$\star d_n \langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_n a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle;$$

$$\tau \langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_n, a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$$

where a_i may be $a_i \in U(A)$ or $\begin{bmatrix} a_i^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_i^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$a \begin{bmatrix} a^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} aa^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ aa^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}; \quad \begin{bmatrix} a^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} \cdot a = \begin{bmatrix} a^{(1)} a \\ \vdots \\ a^{(m)} a \end{bmatrix}$$

The simplicial structure:

$$d_k \langle a_0, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} a_j^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_j^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, a_m \rangle = \langle a_0, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} a_j^{(k_2)} \\ \vdots \\ a_j^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, a_n \rangle,$$

$k=0;$

$$\langle a_0, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} a_j^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_j^{(k)} + a_j^{(k+1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_j^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, a_n \rangle, \quad \langle a_0, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} a_j^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_j^{(m-1)} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, a_n \rangle$$

$1 \leq k < m; \quad k=m$

Here

$$a'_j + a''_j = a'_j + a''_j - a'_j \cdot a_{j+1} \cdots a_n a_0 \cdots a_{j-1} \cdot a''_j$$

Rmk An n -tuple $a_0, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n$

defines a monoid

$$\left\{ a' \in M(A) \mid 1 - a_0 \cdots a_{j-1} \cdot a' \cdot a_{j+1} \cdots a_n \in GL(A) \right\}$$

with the operation $\underset{j}{+}$; this monoid maps to

$GL(A)$:

$$a \mapsto 1 - a_0 \cdots a_{j-1} \cdot a \cdot a_{j+1} \cdots a_n$$

Formulas \star turn it into a cyclic object in monoids.

If one disregards \star then $CL_{*,0}$ (as a bisimplicial set) is the nerve of the

Simplicial category: $n \mapsto \coprod_{j, a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_n} \text{Monoid}_{a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_n}$

Conjecturally:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{map} \\ \text{Kochman} \\ \wedge^q \end{array} CL_{*,*}(A) \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{Sing} | BGL(A \{ \Delta^i \}) |$$

Maps $(\Delta^* \times \Delta^*; \dots)$

(Recall: $A \{ \Delta^n \} = A \{ t_0, \dots, t_n \} / (\sum t_j = 1)$
 a simplicial algebra. The R.H.S. computes $K_*(A)$.)
 See: Notes on Dennis-Stern symbols.

Also note:

$$CL_{*,*}(A) \longleftarrow BGL(A)$$

(maps to the $n=0$ part)

Most probably: extends to $BGL(A)^+$.

Indeed: $\pi_1 | CL_{*,*}(A) |$ is Abelian.

$$GL(A) / \sim ; 1-ab \sim 1-ba \text{ (if belong to } GL)$$

$$\langle E_{12}^a, E_{21}^b \rangle \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_0} E_{11}^{ab} \\ \xrightarrow{d_1} E_{22}^{ba} \end{array}$$

$$1 - E_{11}^{ab} = e_{11}^{1-ab} ; 1 - E_{22}^{ba} = e_{22}^{1-ba}$$

$$\text{Put } b=1: e_{11}^g \sim e_{22}^g, \forall g \in A^\times$$

Or: for $g \in GL_n(A)$,

the image of $g_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in GL(A)$ in π_1 does not depend

on $i_1 < \dots < i_n \Rightarrow GL(A) / \sim$ is commutative.

Similarly: π_1 should act trivially on π_n .

(by the same reason, basically obvious)

If we believe in this:

$$HCL_i(A) := \pi_{i+1} \left(\underset{\wedge^{\text{op}}}{\text{Hochschm}} CL_{*,i}(A) \right) =: KL_i(A)$$

$$KL_i(A) \rightleftharpoons K_i(A)$$

Mutually inverse on K_1 .

What about on K_2 ? (Does this give a new proof of the Matsumoto theorem?)

In general?

Conclusion for now:

$$HCL_{\bullet}(A) = KL_{\bullet+1}(A)$$

Also Hochschild, negative/periodic cyclic version. Conjecturally

$$KL_{\bullet}(A) \rightleftharpoons K_{\bullet}(A)$$

Should define explicitly

$$KL_*(A) \rightarrow HC_*(A)$$

And when A is pronilpotent, over \mathbb{Q} :

$$KL_*(A) \rightarrow HC_{\text{poly}}(A)$$

When A is commutative, both are known; the latter given by explicit formulas using polylogarithms (later).

Also: seems well-suited for K-theory of cluster algebras.