

### Some exercises

**1. Twistor construction.** We regard  $\mathbb{P}^1$  as the union of two affine charts  $\mathbb{C}_z$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{z'}$ , with  $z' = 1/z$  on the intersection, and we set  $S^1 = \{|z| = 1\} = \{|z'| = 1\}$ . Let  $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{P}^1}$  be the anti-holomorphic involution  $z \mapsto -1/\bar{z}$ .

(1) Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a holomorphic vector bundle on  $\mathbb{C}_z$ .

- Show that  $\sigma^*\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  is a holomorphic vector bundle on  $\mathbb{C}_{z'}$ .

(2) Let  $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{H}|_{S^1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S^1}} \sigma^*\overline{\mathcal{H}}|_{S^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S^1}$  be  $\mathcal{O}_{S^1}$ -linear inducing an isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}|_{S^1}^\vee \simeq \sigma^*\overline{\mathcal{H}}|_{S^1}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  defines a holomorphic bundle  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  by gluing  $\mathcal{H}^\vee$  and  $\sigma^*\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  along the previous isomorphism. Assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is equipped with a meromorphic connection  $\nabla$  having a pole at  $z = 0$  only.

- Show that  $\sigma^*\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  has a meromorphic connection having a pole at  $z' = 0$  only.

- Show that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is compatible with the connections, then the connection  $\nabla$  on  $\mathcal{H}^\vee$  and that on  $\sigma^*\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  are compatible and define a meromorphic connection  $\nabla$  on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$  with pole at  $0, \infty$  only.

- In such a case, show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is uniquely determined from its restriction to the local system  $\mathcal{L} = \ker \nabla$ , which is a non-degenerate pairing  $C : \mathcal{L}|_{S^1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_{S^1}} \iota^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{L}}|_{S^1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{S^1}$ , where  $\iota$  is the involution  $z \mapsto -z$  (note that, for  $z \in S^1$ ,  $\sigma(z) = \iota(z)$ ).

**Remark.** Given  $(\mathcal{H}, \nabla)$  and a non-degenerate pairing  $C : \mathcal{L}|_{S^1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_{S^1}} \iota^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{L}}|_{S^1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{S^1}$  as above, it is difficult to check whether  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$  is trivial, or to compute the Birkhoff-Grothendieck decomposition of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ , as this reduces to a transcendental question.

(3) Assume that we are given  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{C})$  as above. Show that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \simeq \sigma^*\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . Conclude that, if  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{C})$  is a *pure twistor of weight 0*, that is, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is the trivial bundle, then  $H := \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}})$  is equipped with a nondegenerate sesquilinear form.

**2. Elementary  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vector spaces with connection.** Let  $R$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vector space equipped with a connection  $\nabla$  having a *regular singularity*, i.e., there exists a basis of  $R$  in which  $\nabla = d + Adz/z$ ,  $A$  a constant matrix.

(1) Let  $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}((z))$ . Show that  $\nabla + d\varphi \text{Id}$  is a connection which only depends on  $\varphi \bmod \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ , that is, if  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{C}((z))$  are such that  $\varphi - \psi \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ , then  $(R, \nabla + d\varphi \text{Id}) \simeq (R, \nabla + d\psi \text{Id})$ .

(2) Show that if  $\varphi \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}((z))/\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ , then  $\ker \nabla = 0$ . Applying this to End, show the converse to the implication above.

(3) Let  $u$  be a new variable, let  $\rho \in u\mathbb{C}[[u]]$  with valuation  $v_u(\rho) = p \geq 1$ , and set  $z = \rho(u)$ . Show that  $\mathbb{C}((u))$  is a  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vector space. Let  $R$  be a  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{C}((u))$ -vector space. Show that  $R$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vector space and compute its dimension. It is denoted by  $\rho_*R$ .

(4) Assume  $R$  has a connection  $\nabla$  (w.r.t. to  $u$ ). Show that  $\nabla_{\partial_z} := \rho'(u)^{-1}\nabla_{\partial_u}$  defines a derivation of  $R$  as a  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vector space. Then  $(R, \nabla_{\partial_z})$  is denoted  $\rho_+(R, \nabla_{\partial_u})$ .

(5) Let  $S$  be a  $m$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vector space with a connection  $\nabla$  (w.r.t.  $z$ ) and set  $\rho^*S = \mathbb{C}((u)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}((z))} S$ . Show that the formula  $\nabla_{\partial_u}(1 \otimes s) = \rho'(u) \otimes \nabla_{\partial_z}s$  defines a connection on  $\rho^*S$  (w.r.t.  $u$ ). It is denoted  $\rho^+(S, \nabla)$ .

(6) Let  $\lambda \in u\mathbb{C}[[u]]$  with  $v_u(\lambda) = 1$ . Compute  $\lambda^+(S, d + d\psi \text{Id} + Adz/z)$  and  $\lambda_+(R, d + d\varphi \text{Id} + Adu/u)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}((u))$ ,  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}((z))$  and  $A$  a constant matrix.

(7) Let  $(R, \nabla)$  and  $(R', \nabla')$  be two  $\mathbb{C}((u))$ -vector spaces with *regular* connection, and let  $\lambda \in u\mathbb{C}[[u]]$  with  $v_u(\lambda) = 1$ . Show that  $\lambda_+(R, \nabla + d\varphi \text{Id}) \simeq (R', \nabla' + d\psi \text{Id})$  iff  $\psi \circ \lambda \equiv \varphi \pmod{\mathbb{C}[[u]]}$  and  $(R, \nabla) \simeq (R', \nabla')$ . (Hint: use the series  $\rho(u)$  such that  $\lambda \circ \rho = 1$  and show that  $\lambda_+ = \rho^+$ .)